

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****SA No. 335 of 2017****Birbal versus Ugrasen (Died and Deleted)****Order on Board**

<b>15/04/2026</b>	<p>Mr. Somnath Verma, learned counsel for the appellant.</p> <p>Mr. Rishabhdev Sahu, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Ramsahay Songende, learned counsel for the respondent.</p> <p>The present Second Appeal has been filed under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, assailing the judgment and decree dated 21/04/2017 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Kanker (C.G.) in Civil Appeal No. 04-A/2017, whereby the appeal preferred by the defendants was allowed and the judgment and decree passed by the Trial Court was reversed.</p> <p>Heard on the question of admission.</p>

Upon due consideration of the pleadings on record, the impugned judgments and the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the appellants, this Court is of the considered opinion that the appeal involves the following substantial question of law:

*“Whether the learned First Appellate Court erred in law in reversing the finding of the learned Trial Court on the issue as to whether Ayodhya and Jageshwar were the adopted sons of Late Jotki Bai?”*

Accordingly, the Second Appeal is admitted for hearing on the aforesaid substantial questions of law.

Issue notice to the respondents on the aforesaid substantial questions of law.

Mr. Risabh Deo Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

List this case for final hearing in the weekly list.

Sd/-

**(Bibhu Datta Guru)**  
**Judge**