



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRC No. 2481 of 2026**

1 - Manjit Nirala S/o Goswami Nirala Aged About 22 Years R/o Village Nawagaon Police Station - Palari District- Balodabazar-Bhatapara (C.G.)

... Applicant**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Station House Officer Police Station - Berla District- Bemetara (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant	: Mr. Veer Verma, Adv., Mr. Ankush Borkar, Adv. & Mr. Hardik Jaiswal, Adv.
For State	: Mr. Afroz Khan, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal**Order on Board****01/04/2026**

1. This is the **first bail** application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 278/2025 registered at Police Station – Berla, District Bemetara (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 64(2)(i)(m), 351(3) of BNS and Section 6 of POCSO Act.
2. As per the prosecution's case, it is alleged that on 08.10.2025, the applicant induced the minor victim, abducted and



established physical relations with her. During the course of the investigation, the minor victim was recovered from the applicant. Based on this, offence has been registered against the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there is a total of 19 listed witnesses in this case, out of which 3 witnesses, including the victim and her father, have been examined. The victim was a consenting party, and there was a matter of financial transaction between the family of the parties; therefore, the applicant has been falsely implicated in the matter. The applicant is in jail since 10.11.2025, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Therefore, he prays for the grant of regular bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposed the bail application and submits that the victim was minor at the time of incident and she has supported the case of the prosecution.
5. The victim and her father appeared through V.C. from the concerned DLSA and raised objection to grant of bail to the applicant.
6. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and looking to the statements of the victim and her father and also considering the fact that the applicant is in custody since 10.11.2025, and the trial is likely to take considerable time, therefore, at this stage, without commenting on merits of the case, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.
8. Accordingly, the application is **allowed** and it is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court. The applicant is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date



to be given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial. It is made clear that any observation made by this Court in this order shall not affect the trial of the case.

9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge

H.L. Sahu