



2026:CGHC:14331



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2412 of 2026

Yudhisthir Yadav @ Sudhanshu S/o Shri Shantilal Yadav Aged About 19 Years R/o Village-Kharaud, Ward No. 07 Kahlhepur, P.S. Shivrinarayan, Dist Janjgir-Champa (Cg)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through S.H.O. P.S. Shivrinarayan Dist. Janjgir-Champa (CG)

... Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. Ravindra Sharma, Advocate
For Respondent/State : Mr. K. K. Bahrani, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order On Board

25.03.2026

1. The victim and her father appeared before this Court today through virtual mode from the concerned DLSA and expressed their no-objection in granting bail to the applicant.
2. This is the second bail application filed u/s 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime



No.451/2025 registered at Police Station Shivrinarayan, Dist.

Janjgir-Champa (CG) for offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 332(B), 87, 64(2) of BNS and Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act.

3. The first bail application of applicant was dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh vide order dated 05.02.2026 in MCRC No.698/2026.
4. As per the prosecution case, the applicant, knowing well that the victim was a minor, took her away from the lawful guardianship of her parents without their consent, kept her with him and repeatedly committed sexual intercourse with her. On report being lodged in this regard, the applicant was arrested on 26.10.2025.
5. Learned counsel for applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The victim and her father have been examined before the trial Court and they have not supported the case of prosecution and turned hostile. They have also expressed their no-objection in granting bail to the applicant. He further submits that out of total 28 witness, only 2 witnesses i.e. the victim and her father have been examined, the applicant is in jail since 26.10.2025 and there is no likelihood of the trial to be concluded at the earliest. Hence, considering all these facts, the applicant may be released on bail.
6. Learned State counsel, on the other hand, opposing the bail application submits that the victim was minor at the time of incident, she was recovered from the possession of the applicant



and considering the nature of offence, the applicant does not deserve to be released on bail.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
8. Taking into consideration the entire facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the court statements of the victim and her father and also considering the facts that the victim and her father have expressed no-objection in granting bail to the applicant, there are total 28 witnesses, out of which only the victim and her father have been examined so far, the applicant is in jail since 26.10.2025 and there is all possibility of the trial taking considerable time for its conclusion, this Court is of the opinion that a case for grant of bail to the applicant has been made out.
9. Accordingly, the application for grant of bail is **allowed**. It is directed that the present Applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court. The applicant is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date to be given to him by the said Court till completion of the trial.
10. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
JUDGE