



2026:CGHC:19041



2026:CGHC:19041

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2618 of 2026

Tilotma Yadav, Wife Of Dhanurjay Yadav (Son Of Dhanurjay Yadav), Aged About 25 Years Resident Of Laripani, P.S. Lailunga, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Lailunga District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

... Respondent

For Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Sahu, Advocate.

For : Ms. Monika Thakur, PL.

Respondent/State

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

24/04/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.260/2025, registered at Police Station: Lailunga District Raigarh (C.G.) for the offence punishable



under Section 21(b) of the N.D.P.S. Act.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on the basis of an informant's tip, Assistant Sub-Inspector Hemant Kashyap of Police Station Lailunga, District Raigarh (C.G.), on 01.10.2025 at about 23:55 hours, conducted a raid at the house of Dhanujay Yadav located in Village Durgapur, within the jurisdiction of Police Station Lailunga. During the raid, 200 bottles (100 ml each) of Onerex Cough Syrup, 85 packets of Spasmo-Proxyvon Plus capsules, and 220 Butrum injections, along with cash amounting to Rs.8,40,000/- from sale proceeds, were seized from the possession of accused Tilotma Yadav in the presence of witnesses. Accordingly, Crime No. 260/2025 under Section 21(B) of the NDPS Act was registered and taken up for investigation. The accused was arrested, and upon interrogation, she stated that she, along with her husband Dhanujay, was involved in the sale of the said narcotic medicines. Hence this bail application.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant, being a housewife, is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that there is no proof of exclusive possession, and the seizure memo is not duly supported by the prosecution witnesses. He further submits that mandatory provisions of the NDPS Act have not been complied with and the investigation has been conducted in a casual and improper manner, leading to false implication of the applicant. It is also submitted that the applicant has been in custody since 02.10.2025, has a minor child aged about 4 years, charge-sheet has been filed and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the



applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that the charge sheet has been filed in this case. She would submit that applicant along with her husband who is co-accused was involved in sale of the narcotic medicines and husband of the applicant is already absconding. She would further submit that Rs.8,40,000/- from sale proceeds, were seized from the possession of the applicant and also contraband article i.e. ban medicine has been seized from the possession of the applicant, which is above the commercial quantity, hence she is not entitled for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Considering the facts & circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, materials available on record, further the fact that the applicant along with her husband who is co-accused was involved in sale of the narcotic medicines and husband of the applicant is already absconding, further the fact that Rs.8,40,000/- from sale proceeds, were seized from the possession of the applicant and also contraband article i.e. ban medicine which has been seized from the possession of the applicant, is above the commercial quantity and the applicant has failed to give any explanation for the same and it cannot be said to be a case of false implication, therefore, this Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case to enlarge the applicant on regular bail.
7. Accordingly, the bail application of applicant **Tilotma Yadav**, involved in



Crime No.260/2025, registered at Police Station: Lailunga District

Raigarh (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 21(b) of the N.D.P.S. Act, is **rejected**.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

gouri