



2026:CGHC:12409

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2453 of 2026

Shlok Singh Banafar S/o Manharan Singh Banafar Aged About 63 Years R/o Village Siud, Th- Navagarh, District- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)(Petitioner Retired On 30/11/2025 From The Post Of Generator Operator)

... **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

- 1 - The State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Water Resource Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)
- 2 - Engineer In Chief Water Resource Department, Shivnath Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)
- 3 - Chief Engineer Mini Mata Hasdeo Bango Project, Water Resource Department, Distt.- Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 4 - Superintendent Engineer Hasdeo Project Division, Water Resource Department, Rampur, Korba, Distt.- Korba (C.G.)
- 5 - Executive Engineer Hasdeo Canal Water Management Division, Water Resource Department, Janjgir, Distt.- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

... **Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Satish Gupta, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Ajay Kumrani, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

16/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees, is entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioner. Identical issue came up for hearing before this

Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. vs. State of C.G.**, in **W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021** decided on **30.09.2022**, wherein petitioner therein have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioner may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)** and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner expeditiously within specified time.

2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioner is not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioner, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.
4. Taking into consideration, the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of permitting petitioner to submit fresh representations. In the event, petitioner submits representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observations and directions.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE