



2026:CGHC:12918



2026:CGHC:12918

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 1190 of 2026**

Manijar Nirala S/o Rambhagat Nirala, Aged About 56 Years R/o Village Kalmi Tahsil Malkhrouda District- Sakti (C.G.)

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Revenue And Disaster Management, Mantralaya, Capital Complex, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Collector Office Of The Collector, District Sakti (C.G.)

3 - The Sub Divisional Officer (R) / Land Acquisition Officer, Sub Division Malkharouda, District Sakti (C.G.)

4 - Tahsildar, Office Of The Tahsildar, Malkharouda, District Sakti (C.G.)

5 - Halka Patwari, 03 (New) Inspector Of Revenue Division / Tahsil Malkharouda. Office Of The Tahsildar, Malkharouda, District Sakti (C.G.)

6 - The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (Pwd), District Sakti, Chhattisgarh.

7 - C.G. State Road Development Authority, Project Manager (A.D.B. Project) Public Works Department, District Bilaspur, (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Kaushal Yadav, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Mr. Shobhit Mishra, Dy. Govt. Advocate

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)Order on Board**18/03/2026**

1. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of direction to respondent



No.2/Collector, Distt. Sakti (CG) to decide pending representation of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a resident of Village Kalmi, PH No.03, Tahsil Malkharouda, Distt. Sakti (CG) and his land has been acquired for the purpose of construction of Jaijaipur-Malkharouda-Gobarbhata road and the same has been constructed under Chhattisgarh State Road Development Project (ADB Project). The land has been acquired under Mutual Consent Rules, 2016, but no compensation/ other benefits has been given to the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner has filed representation (Annexure-P/5) on 07.5.2025 before respondent No.2, but the same has not been decided yet, therefore, it is prayed that this petition may be disposed of directing respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner within the period specified by this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the State has no objection in allowing the limited prayer of the petitioners.

4. As per the petitioner, his land has been utilized for construction of road, but no compensation has been given, which is against Article 300 A of the Constitution of India, as no person can be deprived from his property without following due process of law and acquisition proceedings can be completed after giving compensation to the affected villagers, which has not been done in the instant case. Therefore, having considered the limited prayer of the petitioner, this petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2/Collector, District Sakti (CG) to decide the representation dated 07.5.2025 (Annexure-P/5) of the petitioner within 45 days from the date of receipt/ submission of a copy of this order.



5. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this petition stands disposed of.
6. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge

Bini