



2026:CGHC:13506

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 1250 of 2026

Ritlal Rajak S/o Kodulal Rajak, Aged About 46 Years R/o Kacchi Mohalla Seoni Marwahi , District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

- 1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue And Disaster Management, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 2 - Director, Department Of Revenue Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.)**
- 3 - Collector, District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)**
- 4 - Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) , Marwahi, District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)**
- 5 - Tahsildar , Tahsil Marwahi District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)**
- 6 - Station House Officer, Police Station Masturi District- Bilaspur (C.G.)**
- 7 - Ankit Gupta S/o Jamuna Gupta Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Seoni Police Station Marwahi District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)**
- 8 - Rasu Gupta S/o Jamuna Gupta Aged About 36 Years R/o Village Seoni Police Station Marwahi District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)**
- 9 - Shankar Gupta S/o Ramsahay Gupta Aged About 45 Years R/o Village Seoni Police Station Marwahi District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)**
- 10 - Sudhir Gupta S/o Late Gaya Prasad Gupta Aged About 57 Years R/o Village Seoni Police Station Marwahi District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)**
- 11 - Manoj Gupta S/o Badri Prasad Gupta Aged About 58 Years R/o Village Seoni Police Station Marwahi District- Gaurela- Pendra- Marwahi (C.G.)**

... Respondents

{Cause title, as taken from Case Information System}

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Kishore Narayan, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 1 to 6	:	Mr. Shreyansh Meta, Panel Lawyer.

{Hon'ble Mr. Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi}

Order on Board

20/03/2026

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file appropriate application under Section 250 of the C.G. Land Revenue Code, 1959 before the concerned Tahsildar for redressal of his grievance.
2. Counsel for the State has no objection to allow the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner.
3. On due consideration, the prayer made by petitioner's counsel is allowed.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Judge