



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRMP No. 805 of 2026

1 - Piyush Vaishnav S/o Lakhan Das Vaishnav Aged About 35 Years R/o Rajendra Nagar (Wrongly Not Mentioned In Order -Sheet), Word No, 7 Mungeli, Police Station -City Kotwali, District -Mungeli Chhattisgarh

2 - Smt. Kanti Vaishnav W/o Lakhan Vaishnav Aged About 65 Years R/o Rajendra Nagar (Wrongly Not Mentioned In Order -Sheet), Word No, 7 Mungeli, Police Station -City Kotwali, District -Mungeli Chhattisgarh

3 - Lakhan Das Vaishnav S/o Meghidas Vaishnav Aged About 72 Years R/o Rajendra Nagar (Wrongly Not Mentioned In Order -Sheet), Word No, 7 Mungeli, Police Station -City Kotwali, District -Mungeli Chhattisgarh

4 - Harish Kumar Vaishnav S/o Lakhan Das Vaishnav Aged About 48 Years R/o Rajendra Nagar (Wrongly Not Mentioned In Order -Sheet), Word No, 7 Mungeli, Police Station -City Kotwali, District -Mungeli Chhattisgarh

5 - Smt. Linima Vaishnav W/o Harish Vaishnav Aged About 40 Years R/o Rajendra Nagar (Wrongly Not Mentioned In Order -Sheet), Word No, 7 Mungeli, Police Station -City Kotwali, District -Mungeli Chhattisgarh

6 - Smt. Ritu @ Maya Vaishnav W/o Sharad Chand Vaishnav Aged About 45 Years R/o Plot No. 52 Ward No. 14 Shriram Residency V.T.C. Bilaspur Police Station Civil Line (Wrongly Mentioned as Mangla in the impugned Order - Sheet District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station -Bodla, District Kabirdham (C.G.)

2 - Smt. Shivani Vaishnav W/o Piyush Vaishnav Aged About 28 Years R/o Balani Chowk Mungeli Police Station Mungeli District -Mungeli Present Address -Village -Minminiya, Police Station Bodla District - Kabirdham Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

Order Sheet

<p>24/03/2026</p>	<p>Heard Mr. Kanhaiya Ram Yadav, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. Sourabh Sahu, learned Panel Lawyer, appearing for the State/respondent No.1 and perused the impugned F.I.R. as well as charge-sheet and materials brought on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioners make the statement at Bar that though the discharge application of the petitioners has been rejected and the date was fixed for framing of the charge on 20.03.2026, but the charge could not be framed and the next date is fixed for 14.05.2026. He further submitted that invocation of Section 498-A, 34 of IPC is misconceived as the allegations do not disclose ingredients of demand of dowry or harassment of the complainant by her husband and in-law.</p>

Considering the fact that the dispute arrived at between the parties is matrimonial in nature, we deem it appropriate to make an effort to get the said dispute settled by way of mediation.

In view of the above, petitioner No.1 is directed to deposit Rs.50,000/- with the Mediation Centre of this Court and the same shall be paid to respondent No. 2/ complainant on her appearance before the Mediation Centre.

Parties are directed to appear before the Mediation Centre of this Court on **13.04.2026**.

Learned State counsel is directed to inform the private respondent *i.e.* respondent No. 2 about passing of this order, so that she may appear before the Mediation Centre on the aforesaid date.

List this matter along with report of Mediation Centre before this Court on **22.04.2026**.

Till the next date of listing, further proceedings in Criminal Case No. 4245/2024 pending before the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kawardha, District –

Kabirdham (C.G.) shall remain stayed.

The petitioners are directed to produce the copy of the receipt before the concerned trial Court regarding payment of the said money before the Mediation Centre of this Court in pursuance of this Court's order, then only this order shall be given into effect.

It is also made clear that if any final settlement is arrived at between the parties, the aforesaid amount so deposited, shall be adjusted.

If the statement which has been made at Bar is found to be incorrect, adverse inference would be drawn against the counsel concern for misleading the Court and obtaining an interim order and appropriate orders would be passed.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice