



2026:CGHC:12955



2026:CGHC:12955

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 2547 of 2026**

Yogesh Yadav S/o Raju Yadav Aged About 21 Years R/o Adarsh Para,
Ravabhatha, Police Station- Khamtarai, District- Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant(s)**versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer Police Station-
Khamtarai, District- Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant(s) : Mr. Bharat Gulbani, Advocate.

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Ritika Verma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****18.03.2026**

1. This is the second bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 452/2025 registered at Police Station Khamtarai, District- Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 296,



118(1), 118(2), 119(1), 119(2) and 126(2) of BNS.

- 2.** Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 17.05.2025 at about 08:45 PM, near Rukmani Kirana Stores, Gali Ravabhatha, within the jurisdiction of Police Station Khamtarai, Raipur, the accused, Yogesh Yadav allegedly intercepted the husband of the complainant, namely Girdhar Sahu, and unlawfully demanded money for liquor. Upon refusal, the accused abused him in filthy language and assaulted him with a sharp-edged weapon, causing a grievous injury on his left rib, which led to the registration of alleged offence against the applicant and subsequently, the applicant was arrested. Hence, the bail application.
- 3.** Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the first bail application of the applicant was rejected by this Court on merits vide order dated 01.08.2025 passed in MCRC No.6051 of 2025, therefore this second bail application is filed on the ground that there is no legal material or evidence available on record constituting the alleged offence against the present applicant, so far as the status of trial is concerned, out of 14 witnesses, the statement of 4 witnesses, including the injured have already been recorded, further the charge-sheet has already been filed in the present case and the applicant is in jail since 18.05.2025, hence, he prays for releasing the applicant on regular bail.
- 4.** On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the allegations against the applicant



is that he demanded money from the injured for purchasing liquor, and upon refusal, assaulted him with a sharp-edged weapon on his abdomen, causing grievous injuries for which he had to be admitted to the hospital. Therefore, the bail application of the applicant deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. From perusal of the records, it transpires that this is the second bail application of the applicant and first bail application of the applicant was rejected by this Court vide order dated 01.08.2025 in MCRC No. 6051 of 2025 on the ground that the applicant assaulted the injured with a sharp-edged weapon on the abdomen after demanding money for liquor, resulted in grievous injuries required hospitalization. So far as the status of trial is concerned, out of 14 witnesses, the statement of 4 witnesses, including the injured have already been recorded and the injured has supported the case of the prosecution, further the charge-sheet has already been filed, there appears to be no new and good to entertain the present second bail application. As such, in view of the seriousness of the offence and the specific role attributed to the applicant, this Court is of the opinion that it is not a fit case to enlarge the applicant on regular bail.
7. Accordingly, the second bail application of the applicant- **Yogesh Yadav**, involved in Crime No. 452/2025 registered at Police



2026:CGHC:12955

Station Khamtarai, District- Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 296, 118(1), 118(2), 119(1), 119(2) and 126(2) of BNS, is **rejected** at this stage.

8. Needless to say that the trial Court concerned is at liberty to proceed and concluded the trial expeditiously.
9. Office is directed to provide a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Akhil