



2026:CGHC:12647



2026:CGHC:12647

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 2506 of 2026**

1 - Hirendra Kumar Sharma S/o Ram Gulam Sharma Aged About 63 Years
R/o- House No. 22, Ward No. 06, Kawardha, District Kabirdham (C.G.)

2 - Keshav Kumar Verma S/o Horilal Verma Aged About 63 Years R/o- Ward
No. 16, Pondi, Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

3 - Santosh Kumar Soni S/o Tungan Lal Soni Aged About 63 Years R/o
Kachahari Para, Union Chowk, Ward No. 12, Kawardha, District Kabirdham,
Chhattisgarh

4 - Pramod Kumar Tiwari S/o Mohit Lal Tiwari Aged About 63 Years R/o-
Ward No. 11, Bodia, Kawardha, District Kabirdham, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioners**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Water Resources Department,
Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Joint Director Accounts, Treasury And Pension Directorate, Raipur, District
Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - Engineer In Chief Water Resources Department, Raipur, District Raipur,
Chhattisgarh

4 - Chief Engineer Mahanadi Godavari Basin, Raipur, District Raipur,



Chhattisgarh

5 - Executive Engineer Water Resources Division, Kawardha, District Kabirdham, C.G.

... Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Devesh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Lav Sharma, panel lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

17.03.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners who stood retired as Work Charged and Contingency Paid Employees are entitled for leave encashment but till date respondents have not extended the benefit of leave encashment to petitioners. Identical issue came up for hearing before this Court in case of **Faguvaram Patel & Ors. Vs. State of C.G., in W.P.(S) No. 3870 of 2021 decided on 30.09.2022**, wherein petitioners have raised identical issue which was allowed in their favour. He submits that at this stage, petitioners may be permitted to submit representation in light of **Faguvaram Patel** (supra) and respondents be directed to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners expeditiously within specified time.
2. Learned State counsel submits that as petitioners are not pressing this petition on merits and is only seeking a direction to decide the representation to be submitted by petitioners, at this stage, he is having no objection.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.



4. Taking into consideration the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, this petition is disposed of permitting the petitioners to make fresh representation. In the event, petitioners submit representation, their claim shall be considered and decided in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of representation keeping in mind decision in case of **Faguvaram Patel (supra)**.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation and direction.

Certified copy as per rules.

sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

Judge

Alfiza