



2026:CGHC:14803

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 2434 of 2026**

**1** - Tikeshwar Nishad S/o Bhagat Ram Nishad Aged About 20 Years  
R/o Village Samoda, Ps Arang, District Raipur, C.G.

**... Applicant****versus**

**1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Patewa, District  
Mahasamund C.G.

**... Respondent**


---

|               |                                  |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| For Applicant | : Mr. Shubhank Tiwari, Advocate. |
| For State     | : Mr. Karan Baharani, P.L.       |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal****Order on Board****30/03/2026**

1. This is the **first bail** application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 83/2025 registered at Police Station – Patewa, District Mahasamund (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 64(2)(m) of BNS and Section 6 of POCSO Act.
2. As per the prosecution's case, it is alleged that on 08.06.2025, the applicant/accused abducted the minor victim from her lawful guardianship specifically for the purpose of compelling her to marry against her will or subjecting her to illicit sexual intercourse and having brought her against her will to Amlidih,



Raipur, and repeatedly engaged in sexual intercourse with her in side a hut. Based on this, offence has been registered against the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that there are total of 18 listed witnesses in this case, out of 6 witnesses, including the victim and her father, who have been examined. From the entire statement of the victim, it appears that she was a consenting party, and she deliberately went with the applicant, and no such alarm was ever raised by her. The applicant is in jail since 17.08.2025, and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude. Therefore, he prays for the grant of regular bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposed the bail application and submits that the victim was minor at the time of incident and she has supported the case of the prosecution.
5. The victim and her parents appeared through V.C. from the concerned DLSA and raised objection to grant of bail to the applicant.
6. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and looking to the statement of the victim and also considering the fact that the applicant is in custody since 17.08.2025, and the trial is likely to take considerable time, therefore, at this stage, without commenting on merits of the case, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.
8. Accordingly, the application is **allowed** and it is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court. The applicant is directed to appear before the trial Court on each and every date to be given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial. It is



made clear that any observation made by this Court in this order shall not affect the trial of the case.

9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-**  
**(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)**  
**Judge**

H.L. Sahu