



2026:CGHC:12961



2026:CGHC:12961

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2535 of 2026

1. Ravi Yadav S/o Ruplal Yadav Aged About 22 Years R/o Village- Trishuli, P/s Sanawal, Distt.- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (C.G.)
2. Pawan Yadav S/o Lakhraj Yadav Aged About 25 Years R/o Village- Trishuli, P/s Sanawal, Distt.- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (C.G.)
3. Dinesh Yadav S/o Asharfi Yadav Aged About 27 Years R/o Village Dumarpan, P/s- Sanawal, Distt.- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Applicants**versus**

State of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Sanawal, Distt.- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicants	: Mr. Rahul Mishra, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Ms. Ankita Shukla, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

18.03.2026

1. The applicants have preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as they have been arrested in connection with Crime No. 81/2025, registered at Police Station Sanawal, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 296, 304(2), 324(5), 351(2) and 75 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. As per the prosecution case, in brief, on 23.12.2025, the complainant Neeraj Kumar made a complaint before Police Station Sanawal, alleging



inter alia that on 23.12.2025 at about 6:00–7:00 PM, the complainant along with Ranju Singh, Shubham Singh, Surja Singh, Roshani Singh, Shyamdev Singh, Gauri Shankar Singh, and the driver were going to village Trishuli to attend the Chhatthi ceremony of Satyanarayan Singh. When they reached near village Madrutola, the accused persons, namely Krishna Yadav, Ravi Yadav, Pawan Yadav, and Dinesh Yadav, arrived on a motorcycle and attempted to stop and chase the vehicle of the complainant. Thereafter, the accused persons allegedly chased the complainant's vehicle and committed marpit (assault) with the driver. When the complainant objected, the accused persons allegedly threatened to commit murder, snatched the gold chain from the neck of Ranju Singh, and attempted to outrage her modesty. The incident was then communicated telephonically to Gauri Shankar by the complainant. Thereafter, the complainant along with Ujjwal proceeded towards the place of occurrence, but the accused persons again stopped them midway, assaulted them while using filthy language, and broke the glass of their car. Subsequently, upon receiving information through mobile phone, Ashok Singh and Suryapratap Singh reached the place of the incident. Thereafter, the accused persons allegedly assaulted them with lathi and danda and also abused them on caste-based grounds. On the basis of the said complaint, the First Information Report was registered by the police of Police Station Sanawal in connection with Crime No. 81/2025.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the present applicants are innocent persons and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that, in fact, a dispute arose between both the parties regarding giving way on the road, which led to a quarrel between them. During the said incident, the complainant party also assaulted the



applicants, and in this regard, the applicants had lodged a complaint on 23.12.2025 before the Police at Police Station Sanawal, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.). Learned counsel further submits that the co-accused, namely, Krishna Yadav, has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 12.03.2026 passed in MCRC No. 1187/2026. It is also submitted that applicant Nos. 1 and 2 have one previous criminal antecedent each, whereas applicant No. 3 has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court. Applicant Nos. 1 and 3 are in judicial custody since 24.12.2025, and applicant No. 2 is in custody since 26.12.2025. The conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time. Therefore, a prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to the applicants.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has been filed before the competent Court but could not dispute the fact that the co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence, and the fact that co-accused, namely, Krishna Yadav has already been granted by this Court vide order dated 12.03.2026 passed in MCRC No. 1187/2026, further the present applicant Nos. 1 and 2 has one previous criminal antecedents and applicant No.3 has no criminal antecedents, and also that the charge-sheet has already been filed, applicant Nos. 1 and 3 have been in jail since 24.12.2025 and applicant No.2 has been in jail since 26.12.2025, and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, I am of the opinion that the applicants are entitled to be released on bail on the



ground of parity.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**. Let the applicant Nos. 1, 2 and 3 – **Ravi Yadav, Pawan Yadav and Dinesh Yadav**, respectively involved in Crime No. 81/2025, registered at Police Station Sanawal, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 296, 304(2), 324(5), 351(2) and 75 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, be released on bail on their furnishing a **personal bond with two sureties each** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicants shall file an undertaking to the effect that they shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicants shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through their counsel. In case of their absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against them under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicants misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure their presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against them, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicants shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such



default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against them in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**

Preeti