



2026:CGHC:12450

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 1114 of 2026**

Satish Shukla S/o Late Shri Sitaram Shukla Aged About 50 Years R/o. Village-
Bhurkoni, Tehsil- Pithora, District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Collector, Mahasamund, District- Mahasamund
(C.G.)

2 - Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue) Pithora District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

3 - Tehsildar Pithora District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

4 - Sumitra Bai D/o Shri Sadhuram Aged About 65 Years R/o. Village- Bhurkoni,
Tehsil- Pithora, District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Surfaraj Khan, Advocate
For Respondents 1 to 3/State	:	Mr. Vinay Pandey, Dy. Advocate General

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)**Order on Board****16.3.2026**

1. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India, seeking following reliefs:-

"10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a
Suitable Writ (s), Order (s), Direction (S), by directing the Sub-
Divisional Officer (R) Pithora (respondent No.2 herein) to expedite
the proceeding on an application (Annexure P-2) for cancellation
of caste certificate issued in favour of respondent No. 4 within a
stipulated period, in order to meet out dire necessity and ends of
Justice.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call the
entire records from the court below for its kind perusal.



10.3 Any other relief, which may be suitable in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also be granted.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that private respondent No.4 has obtained a permanent caste certificate/social status certificate of **Sawara** caste (Scheduled Caste community) from respondent No.2 by illegal means. However, she does not belong to Sawara caste as per the Presidential order. Therefore, the petitioner has made complaint/application to respondent No.2 on 28.12.2023 (Annexure- P/2), but the same has not been decided yet. Hence, it is prayed that respondent No.2 be directed to decide aforesaid application (Annexure-P/2) within stipulated period.

3. In reply, learned counsel for the State submits that aforesaid application (Annexure-P/2) is under consideration before respondent No.2 and memo dated 28.02.2025 also been issued to the petitioner for his appearance along with all documents. He further submits that aforesaid application shall be decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Having considered the limited prayer of the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of at this stage, directing respondent No.2 to decide the application dated 28.12.2023 (Annexure-P/2) filed by the petitioner expeditiously in accordance with law, preferably, within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With aforesaid observation and direction, this petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Judge