



2026:CGHC:13478



2026:CGHC:13478

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2651 of 2026

1 - Anandram Tandan S/o Tilakram Tandan Aged About 34 Years R/o Vill- Bhadaura Tahasil - Nawaghar Post- Kanwarakanpa. Bhadaura Po- Nawagarh Dist- Bemetara (C.G.) 491337

--- **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Secretary Department Of Panchayat Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar Nawa Raipur Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Nawagarh Dist- Bemetara (C.G.) 491337

3 - Collector Bemetara Distt- Bemetra (C.G.) 491335

4 - Rajesh Kumar Manhare S/o Heeradas Manhare Aged About 23 Years R/o Vill- Neur P.O. Badnara Tahasil- Nawagarh- Distt- Bemetara (C.G.)

--- **Respondent(s)**

(Cause title is taken from CIS system)

For Petitioner	: Mr. Anchal Kumar Matre, Advocate
For Respondents/State	: Mr. Vivek Verma, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

20/03/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following relief (s) :-



“10.a) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly pleased to Call for the entire record pertaining to the selection and appointment to the post of Employment Assistant pursuant to advertisement dated 25.07.2016.

10.b) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly pleased to issue an appropriate writ/order/direction directing the Respondents to appoint the Petitioner to the post of Employment Assistant at Gram Panchayat Neur, Janpad Panchayat Nawagarh, Dist. Bemetara (C.G.) in accordance with merit and eligibility.

10.c) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly please to Grant consequential benefits including arrears of salary, seniority, continuity of service and other admissible benefits with interest.

10.d) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly please to Award suitable compensation and initiate appropriate action against erring officials.

10.e) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly please to Pass any other order deemed fit and proper in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner participated in the recruitment proceeding for the post of Employment Assistant in Gram Panchayat Neur. After conclusion of the proceedings, respondents authorities have given appointment to respondent No.4, who is less meritorious than petitioner. Aggrieved with which, petitioner submitted representation before respondent No.2 on 11.09.2024. Based on the said representation, order of appointment of respondent no.4 has been cancelled. Petitioner thereafter, submitted representation for his appointment on the post of Employment Assistant, however, that representation is not considered and decided, therefore, direction be issued to respondent No.2 to consider and take



decision on the representation submitted by petitioner at the earliest within specified time frame.

3. Learned State counsel submits that grievance of petitioner is against respondent No.2 for whom he is not appearing.
4. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for respective parties, in particular, the submission of learned counsel for petitioner that representation submitted for redressal of his grievance is still pending consideration I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition at this stage directing respondent No.2 to take decision on the claim/representation of petitioner at the earliest preferably within further period of two months from the date of receipt of order.
5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Balram