



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SA No. 386 of 2017

Khirij **versus** Janiabai

Order on Board

23/01/2026	Mr. Uttam Pandey, Advocate alongwith Mr. Hemant Kumar Agrawal, Advocate for the appellants. Mr. Shobhit Koshta, Advocate for respondent No.1. Mr. Malay Jain, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.2/ State. Heard on the question of admission. This Second Appeal is admitted and shall be heard on the following substantial question of law : 1. Whether the learned trial Court and the learned First Appellate Court were justified in decreeing the suit treating the entire property as ancestral property, while ignoring the exhibited documents (Ex.D-4 to D-6) which purportedly pertain to self-acquired property of the defendant ?

Issue notice to the respondents on the aforesaid substantial question of law.

Since both the respondents have already represented, process fee need not be paid.

Also heard on I.A. No.3, an application for maintaining status-quo over the disputed property.

Having considered the entire facts and circumstances of the case and for the reasons mentioned in the application, both the parties are directed to maintain the status quo in respect of the suit property, as it exists today, till the next date of hearing.

Accordingly, I.A. No.3 is hereby disposed of.

List this case after three weeks.

Sd/-
(BIBHU DATTA GURU)
Judge