



2026:CGHC:14867



NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2559 of 2026

1 - Vikash Sahu S/o Tiharu Sahu Aged About 23 Years R/o Kothikunda Chowki Jobi, P.S.- Kharsia District- Raigarh (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Thana Kharsia, District- Raigarh (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. Sanjay Agrawal, Advocate.

For Respondent/State : Mr. Sumit Singh, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order On Board

30/03/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this **First** bail application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita Act, 2023 for grant of regular bail as he is arrested in connection with Crime No.373/2025, registered at Police Station – Kharsia, District- Raigarh (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 118(1), 296, 126(2) read with Section 3(5) of BNS.
2. As per the prosecution's case, on 07.07.2025, complainant (Sukhmanti Sahu, second wife of the deceased) lodged a report stating that when deceased Tiharu Sahu on his motorcycle left from Kothikunda to Masajia and when he reached near the applicant house then Janki Sahu, (first wife of deceased) stopped him and started abusing and pushed the deceased



along with her sister, then also assaulted him with hand and fists. It is further alleged that at that time the applicant and brother-in-law of the deceased came there and also assaulted him with fists and with a bamboo stick and an axe, resulting in him being injured in his left eye, left shoulder and also in his left thigh. Based on this, offence has been registered against the present applicant and other co-accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that there is a total of 31 listed witnesses in this case, out of which 12 witnesses, including the eye witnesses, have been examined. All the eyewitnesses have turned hostile and do not support the case of the prosecution. The applicant is in jail since 09.07.2025, and the trial is likely to take considerable time. Therefore, the applicant may be enlarged on bail.
4. Per contra, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the bail application and submits that the applicant along with three other co-accused persons, committed a heinous offence of murder of his father, complainant Sukhmanti Sahu (wife of deceased), who has supported the case of the prosecution. There is sufficient material against the present applicant, and an axe has also been seized from the present applicant; therefore, at this stage, looking to the gravity of the offence, the applicant may not be released on bail.
5. I have heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and looking to the material available on record against the applicant and also looking to the gravity of the offence, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, is **rejected**.
7. However, considering the fact that the applicant is in jail since 09.07.2025 and only 12 witnesses out of 31 witnesses have



been examined till date, the concerned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and to ensure that the trial is concluded as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 5 month from the receipt of the copy of this order.

8. Office is directed to sent a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge

H.L. Sahu