



2026:CGHC:15000-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**CRMP No. 899 of 2026**

1 - Animesh Mishra S/o Late Sampatti Kumar Mishra Aged About 51 Years Advocate At Civil Court Bemetara, R/o Village- Basni, District- Bemetara (C.G.)

2 - Amitabh Mishra S/o Late Sampatti Kumar Mishra Aged About 53 Years R/o Village- Basni, District- Bemetara (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Bemetara, District- Bemetara (C.G.)

2 - Ajitabh Mishra S/o Late Sampatti Kumar Mishra Contractor Raipur, R/o Village Basni, District- Bemetara (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Siddhidatri Kashi, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Hon'ble Shri Ravindra Kumar Agrawal, Judge****Judgment on Board****Per Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice****01.04.2026**

1. Mr. Siddhidatri Kashi, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner,



submits that he has filed his Vakalatnama on 31.03.2026 on behalf of the petitioner, which has been taken on record. Accordingly, he is permitted to address the matter.

2. Also heard Mr. Shailendra Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer, appearing for the respondent No.1 / State.
3. The petitioner has filed this petition praying for following relief:-

“i) That this Hon'ble High Court may be pleased to quash the FIR No. 0009/2026, dated 06/01/2026, (ANNEXURE P/1) registered at Police Station Bemetara, District Bemetara (C.G.) at 17:26 hours, with respect to the present Petitioners and all further proceedings arising therefrom.

ii) Pass such order, as it deems fit, in the circumstances of the case.”

4. From a perusal of the relief clause, it transpires that the petitioner has failed to mention the necessary particulars of the impugned FIR, such as the specific offences registered against the petitioner. The omission of such material details reflects that the prayer has been drafted in a vague, casual and sketchy manner, which not only renders the relief sought uncertain but also results in unnecessary wastage of the valuable time of the Court.
5. In view of the same, learned counsel for the petitioner pray to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file afresh.
6. Accordingly, this petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with liberty



to file petition afresh, subject to depositing a sum of Rs. 2,000/- as cost by the petitioner in the Registry of this Court and the same shall be transmitted to the Government Multi Handicapped Special School, Bagbahra, District- Mahasamund (C.G.), for wasting the precious time of this Court.

7. Certified copy of the documents annexed in the petition, if any, may be returned back to the counsel for the petitioner after obtaining the photocopy of the same, subject to deposit of the fine amount.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Manpreet