



2026:CGHC:14929



2026:CGHC:14929

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**MCRC No. 2256 of 2026**

Sheikh Rahman Qureshi S/o Sheikh Rahim Qureshi Aged About 41 Years R/o Village Dhaba, Police Station Thelkadih, District- Manendragarh- Chirmiri- Bharatpur Chhattisgarh.

...Applicant**versus**

State of Chhattisgarh Through- The Station House Officer, Police Station Dongargaon, District- Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh..

--- Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. Leekesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of Mr. Rahil Arun Kochar, Advocate.

For Respondent/State : Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, GA.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Kumar Verma**Order on Board****01/04/2025**

1. This is **second bail application** filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 for grant of regular bail to



applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.209/2024, registered at Police Station -Dongargaon, District-Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh, for commission of offence punishable under Sections 74, 75, 296, 115(2) of BNS and Section 8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act.

2. First bail application of the applicant was dismissed on merits by this Court on 15.01.2025 in MCRC No.8692/2024.
3. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that present applicant (father of victim) has tried to outrage the modesty of her minor daughter. Based upon report, FIR was registered against the applicant and he has been arrested on 26.08.2024 in the aforementioned crime/offence.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant has been falsely implicated in this case, he has not committed any offence as alleged against him. There was property dispute between the applicant and his wife (mother of victim), due to which, she has levelled false allegation against the applicant. Applicant is in jail since 26.08.2024 and considerable time has passed and there is no substantial progress in the trial, therefore, the applicant may be enlarged on bail.
5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application of the applicant.
6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
7. Considering the fact that earlier bail application of the applicant was dismissed on merits by this Court vide order dated 15.01.2025 and



further taking note that there is no substantial change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to entertain this second bail application.

8. Accordingly, this second bail application is hereby rejected.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Arvind Kumar Verma)
Judge

J.