



2026:CGHC:18190

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 2195 of 2026**

- Tilak Janghel S/o Heeradas Janghel Aged About 20 Years R/o Main Road Urla Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh

**... Applicant(s)****versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Urla Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

**... Respondent(s)****(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**


---

 For Applicant(s) : Ms. Khushboo Sahu, Advocate

 For Respondent(s) : Ms. Palak Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer
 

---

**Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice****Order on Board****21.04.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.28/2026, registered at Police Station – Urla Raipur, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 34(2) of C.G. Excise Act.
2. The case of the prosecution, is that the police has received secret information through informant is that on the date of incident the unknown person has kept illegal liquor in his possession to sell and

police has seized 16.560 bulk litres country made hand liquor from near Paal hotel at open place and he did not produce any document of seized liquor and hence police has registered a case for offence punishable under section 34(2) of the Excise Act against the applicant.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and there is no criminal antecedents registered against the applicant. It is further submitted that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. He further submits that under Section 34(2) of the Excise Act, minimum punishment is one year and maximum punishment is three years. The applicant is in jail since 29.01.2026 and trial is likely to take some time for its conclusion, therefore, she prays for grant of bail to the applicant.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that there are three criminal antecedent registered against the applicant, of which one case is under the Excise Act and the remaining two are under the BNS. It is further submitted that 16.560 bulk litres country made hand liquor from the present applicant, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant and he is in jail since 21.01.2026 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application.

7. Let applicant- **Tilak Janghel**, involved in Crime No.28/2026, registered at Police Station – Urla Raipur, District – Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 34(2) of C.G. Excise Act, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-**  
**(Ramesh Sinha)**  
**Chief Justice**

Vaishali