



2026:CGHC:12387



2026:CGHC:12387

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2437 of 2026

1 - Jagnu Ram Usendi S/o Thelu Ram Usendi Aged About 62 Years R/o Village-Chhotebenthiya District- North Bastar Kanker (C.G.)

... Petitioner

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary Ministry Of Co-Operative Societies Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Registrar Co- Operative Societies Chhattisgarh Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - The Collector Kanker District- North Bastar Kanker (C.G.)

4 - Deputy Commissioner And Deputy Registrar Co- Operative Societies Composite Building Kanker District- North Bastar Kanker (C.G.)

5 - Mukesh Kumar Vadde S/o Nehruram Authorized Officer Adim Jati Seva Sahakari Samiti Maryadit Chhotebenthiya District- North Bastar Kanker (C.G.) Registration No. 694/ 09-11-2020

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
For Respondents-State	:	Mr. Vivek Verma, Government Advocate

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

16/03/2026

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-



"10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to call for the entire records pertaining to this case from possession of the Court below.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus thereby quashing and setting aside the impugned termination/removal order dated 26.11.2025 (Annexure P/1) passed by the Respondent No. 4.

10.3 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents, particularly Respondent No. 4, to re-instate/restore the Petitioner to the post of Authorized Officer for the Adim Jati Seva Sahakari Samiti Maryadit, Chhotebenthiya, in terms of the initial order dated 10.12.2024 (Annexure P/2).

10.4 Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper, may also be passed in favour of the petitioner."

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this writ petition at this stage with liberty to file appropriate proceedings.
3. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn** with liberty as prayed for.
4. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge