



**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**Order Sheet**

**WPC No. 1271 of 2022**

**PRAKASH KUMAR VAISHNAV (CHOUBEY) versus STATE OF CHHATTISGARH**

**WPC/4278/2022**

<b>16/09/2025</b>	<p>Mr. A.S. Rajput, learned counsel for petitioners. Mr. Ajit Singh, learned G.A. for the State. Mr. Ankur Agrawal, counsel for respondents No. 3 to 6 in WPC No. 1271/2022 and respondents No. 1 to 4 in WPC No. 4278/2022.</p> <p>Learned counsel for respondents No. 3 to 6 contended that he has filed clarification that in the vakaltnama, the respondents No. 3 to 6 has put the signature and authorize him to appear before this Court on behalf of the respondent No. 3 to 6. In this regard, learned counsel for the respondents No. 3 to 6 have also filed the affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 3 to 6.</p> <p>In this regard, learned counsel for petitioner contended that he disputed the fact of power of attorney executed infavour of Shefali Gupta and he has also filed document of handwriting expert opinion but learned counsel for respondent No. 3 to 6 has not filed any affidavit in this re-</p>

gard. Therefore the respondents No. 3 to 6 is directed to file additional affidavit in respect of genuineness of power of attorney within a period of 8 weeks.

List this case after 8 weeks.

Interim relief granted earlier to continue till the next date of hearing.

Sd/-

(Arvind Kumar Verma )  
**Judge**