



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

FA No. 46 of 2022

SMT. MALTI BAI **versus** MAHABAL DAS

Order Sheet

09/09/2025	Mr. H. B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Swati Agrawal, learned counsel for the appellant. Ms. Sangeeta Kaushik, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. Roop Ram Naik, learned counsel for the respondent No.1. Ms. Mandvi Bhardwaj, learned Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.2. Heard on I.A. No.11/2025, application under Order 22 Rule 3 of CPC. Also heard on I.A. No.12/2025, application under Order 22 Rule 9 of CPC read with Section 5 of Limitation Act. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant would submits that sole appellant/Smt. Malti Bai had died on 09.08.2023, therefore, he prayed that her proposed LRs may be permitted to be impleaded as appellant in the cause-title of memo of appeal. He further submits that Saroj Gupta was daughter of

appellant late Smt. Malti Bai, but she had also died on 18.04.2017.

He further submits that on being contacted her LRs i.e. husband and two sons, they have not shown interest to prosecute the instant appeal, therefore, LRs of late Saroj Gupta have been proposed to be impleaded as respondents.

Counsel appearing for the respondents have not objected to the prayer made by learned counsel for the appellant.

On due consideration, aforesaid applications are allowed. LRs of the sole appellant are permitted to be impleaded in the cause-title of memo of appeal as prayed for in I.A. No.11/2025, within 10 days from today. Vakalatnama has already been filed on behalf of proposed LRs by the counsel.

List this case thereafter.

Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge