



2026:CGHC:12864



2026:CGHC:12864

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2533 of 2026

Manoj Kumar Patel S/o Shri Shoukila Patel Aged About 37 Years Presently Posted As Assistant Teacher (L.B.) At Government Primary School, Amlidipa Block-Saraipali, District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

--- Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Director Directorate Of Public Instructions, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur District- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - District Education Officer, Mahasamund District Mahasamund (C.G.)

4 - Block Education Officer Saraipali, District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

5 - Chief Executive Officer, District Pandhaya, Mahasamund District -Mahasamund (C.G.)

6 - Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat,, Saraipali, District Mahasamund (C.G.)

--- Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Pramod Ramteke, Advocate
For Respondents- State	:	Ms. Sakshi Bajpai, Panel lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

18/03/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs.

“10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to re-fix the petitioner's seniority in the gradation list from his initial date of joining i.e. 16.11.2007, and to place him at the appropriate position



accordingly, considering his continuous service.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondents to grant all consequential benefits, including consideration for promotion, fixation of pay and seniority based benefits, arising out of correcting of the petitioner's seniority.

10.3 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other relief, as it may deems fit and appropriate."

2. After arguing for sometime, counsel for petitioner submits that as petitioner has already submitted representation before Respondent No. 3-District Education Officer, which is pending consideration, therefore, direction be issued to the concerned authority to take decision on pending representation at the earliest within specified time frame.
3. Learned counsel for State submits that in view of limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner, she is having no objection.
4. On due consideration of submission made by learned counsel for respective parties, without commenting any thing on the merits of the case, I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition at this stage directing Respondent No. 3-District Education Officer to consider and take decision on pending representation of petitioner, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a further period of 04 months from the date of receipt of the order passed by this Court.
5. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge