



2026:CGHC:15414

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2442 of 2026

Shivshankar Alias Shankar Singh S/o Late Govind Das Aged About 55 Years
R/o- Gahraoli Quatpura, P.S. Muskara, District- Hamirpur, Uttarpradesh
Presently Residing At Bhoundsi Devnagar, Police Station- Bhoundsi District-
Gurgaon (Haryana)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station
Sitapur, District- Surguja Chhattisgarh

... Respondent

(Cause title is taken from CIS)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Adv.
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Anish Tiwari, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order On Board

02/04/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this 1st bail application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita Act 2023 for grant of regular bail as he is arrested in connection with crime No.428/2025, registered at Police Station – Sitapur, District- Surguja (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 103(1), of BNS.
2. As per prosecution, it is alleged that on 30.10.2025, the applicant assaulted his wife Indrajo @ Radha (now deceased) when she

objected to him drinking liquor. He grabbed her hair, pushed her head against a wall, and beat her with hands, fists and legs, causing injuries to her head, chest, and waist. She died on 01.11.2025 due to the injuries. The applicant was arrested on 17.11.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that there is no direct evidence available on record against the applicant. The applicant is in jail since 17.11.2025, the trial has not commenced till date and is likely to take time to be finalized, therefore, it is prayed that the applicant may be enlarged on bail.
4. Per contra, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the bail application and submits that the death of deceased was homicidal in nature, the morgue was lodged by name and there is direct evidence against the applicant. Hence, looking to the nature of offence, the applicant may not be released on bail.
5. I have heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, evidence collected by the prosecution against the applicant and the fact that the trial has not commenced, without commenting on merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail.
7. Accordingly, the bail application is **rejected**.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge