

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 1692 of 2020**

1. Surendra Kumar Kaushik S/o Ganesh Ram Kaushik, Aged About 43 Years, Working As Sr PWS, R/o Qtr No. 270/1, NE Railway Colony, Manendragarh, Korea Chhattisgarh 497442- Applicant No.1 In OA No.203/00432/2016.
2. Krishna Kumar S/o Tarkeshwar Prasad, Aged About 37 Years, Working As Sr. PWS, R/o Qtr. No.24/1, Railway Colony, Chirmiri Chhattisgarh 497451- Applicant No.2 In OA No.203/00432/2016.
3. Rajkishore Choudhary S/o Shri Gandhi Choudhary, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Railway Qtr. No.45, Chandiya Road, District Umaria (M.P.) 484660- Applicant In OA No.203/00568/2016.
4. Devnandan Patel S/o Shri Nilambar Patel, Aged About 43 Years, Working As Sr. PWS, O/o Railway Colony, Belparhad, District Jharsuguda (Orisa) 768217- Applicant In OA No.203/00548/2016.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. Union Of India, Through The Secretary, Railway Board, Ministry Of Railways, Rail Bhawan, Raisena Road, Rafi Marg, New Delhi 110001.
2. General Manager, South East Central Railway, New GM Building, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh 495004.
3. Chief Personnel Officer, Divisional Office, South East Central Railway, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh 492008.
4. Divisional Personnel Officer, Divisional Office, South East Central Railway, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh 492008.
5. Gajadhar Prasad Sahu,
6. Jitendra Singh,
7. Santosh Kumar Gupta,
8. Pushpram Kousale,
9. Ramsurat Yadav,
10. Dhananjay Upadhyay,
11. Rameshwar Prasad,
12. Ravi Shankar Dwivedi,
13. Santosh Kumar,
14. Amrit Lal,



15. Deena Nath Yadav,
16. Pramod Kumar Yadav,
17. Pradeep Minj,
18. Vinod Kumar Kanwar,
19. Bind Ram Kousale,
20. Dan Bhushan Tirkey,
21. Manoj Kumar Singh,

Respondents No.5 to 21 All Through Senior Divisional Personnel Officer, Divisional Office, Personnel Branch, SECR, Bilaspur 495004.

--- Respondents

For Petitioners	: None.
For Respondents No.1 to 4/UOI	: Mr. Neeraj Baghel, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. Ramakant Mishra, Dy. Solicitor General.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay S. Agrawal

Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi

Order on Board

10/07/2024

- 1) The matter was passed over once in absence of any representation on behalf of the petitioners. Even in the second call none appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioners.
- 2) Under these circumstances, this Court is left with no other option except to dismiss the petition for want of prosecution.
- 3) Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**-Sd/-
(Sanjay S. Agrawal)
Judge**

**-Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge**