



2026:CGHC:13335



2026:CGHC:13335

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1628 of 2020

1 - Shrikant Pradhan S/o - Shri Lalit Pradhan Aged About 38 Years By Post Lecturer (L.B.), Presently Posted At Government Higher Secondary School-Kolihadevari, Block-Basna, Tahsil- P.S. Basna, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Atal Nagar, Mahanadi Bahavan, New Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh.,

2 - C.G. Lok-Shikshan Sanachalanalay Through - The Director, 1st Floor, Block-3, Indrawati Bhavan, Raipur Chhattisgarh.,

3 - District Education Officer District Education Office, Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.,

4 - Block Education Officer Block Education Office, Baghbahra District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s)	:	Shri JA Lohani, Advocate.
For Respondent/ State	:	Shri Surendra Dewangan, Panel Lawyer.

(Single Bench)

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order On Board

19/03/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was appointed on the post of Shiksha Karmi, Grade-I vide order



dated 21.6.2010 and he joined the service on 1.7.2010. Learned counsel would further submit that after completion of 8 years of service, the petitioner should have been absorbed w.e.f. 1.7.2018 whereas he was absorbed w.e.f. 1.7.2019 and, therefore, he may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and the said respondent may be directed to consider and decide the same.

2. Prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just and reasonable, which is not opposed by the other side.
3. Be that as it may, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation raising his grievance before respondent No.2 within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, which shall be considered and decided by respondent No.2 within a further period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law. However, the petitioner is at liberty to file additional documents before respondent No2.
4. With the aforesaid direction/observation, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge