



2026:CGHC:13240



2026:CGHC:13240

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2013 of 2026

Vijay Verma S/o Late Ramlochan Verma Aged About 27 Years R/o At Present Residence Ward No. 31, Gayatri Dharamkata Street, Janta Colony, Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh. Permanent Residence Street Beside Of Chhattisgarh Hotel, Tethwar Street, Thakur Pyarelal Chowk Chikhli, P.S. Kotwali, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh. ... **Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Kotwali, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh ...**Non-applicant**

For Applicant : Mr. Sudhanshu Kumar Singh, Advocate

For Non-Applicant/State : Mr. Sourabh Sahu, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

19.03.2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 761/2025 registered at Police Station - Kotwali, District Rajnandgaon, (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 111 of the BNS 2023.



2. The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 14/12/2025 Complainant Namely Suresh Suvendra Sharma lodged a report that when he took his Son's Motorcycle Bearing No CG 08 NB 0842 to the Jay Stambh Chowk Opposite IDBI Bank Atm and parked there to and went to take Vegetables then some unknown person has stolen the Motorcycle from the said place and upon the report of the complainant the matter was taken for investigation and upon investigation it was found that the present applicant and one other co-accused has stolen the Motorcycle and upon that the applicant was arrested in the above stated crime and the charge.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case, and at the time of the alleged incident he was not present at the place of occurrence, yet he has been falsely roped in by the police personnel; that the applicant is a trained labourer engaged in ACP work and has no connection whatsoever with the alleged offence; that nothing has been seized from the possession of the applicant and the alleged stolen motorcycle has been recovered from the co-accused, namely Monesh Sahu @ Monu; that the charge-sheet has already been filed and the trial is likely to take a considerable time to conclude; that the applicant is a young man aged about 27 years, earning his livelihood as a labourer with a daily wage of Rs. 300, and he has been in judicial custody since 16.12.2025; and that the applicant is ready and willing to furnish adequate surety and undertakes to abide by all the terms and conditions as may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court, therefore, he is entitled to be released on bail.



4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicant and submits that the charge-sheet has already been filed in the present case.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the applicant, and further taking into account that the charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court and and that the applicant has remained in judicial custody since 16.12.2025, and as the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the present applicant.
7. Let the Applicant – **Vijay Verma**, involved in Crime No.761/2025 registered at Police Station - Kotwali, District Rajnandgaon, (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 111 of the BNS 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing **personal bond** with **two local sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-
 - (i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.
 - (ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against his under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
 - (iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court



2026:CGHC:13240

shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

- 8.** Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice