



2026:CGHC:10061



2026:CGHC:10061

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2000 of 2026

Ajmal Raza @ Babar S/o Shri Gulam Mohammad Aged About 29 Years
R/o Ward No.1, Raipur Road, Rajim P.S. Rajim, Distt- Gariyaband
(C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Station House Officer, Rajim Distt-
Gariyaband (C.G.)

... Respondent

For Applicant	: Shri Wasim Miyan, Advocate.
For	: Ms. Vaishali Mahilong, Dy.G.A.
Respondent/State	

Hon'ble Mr. Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

26/02/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.145/2025 registered at Police Station



Rajim, Distt. Gariyaband (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 317(2), 317(4), 318(4), 111, 61(2)(a), 3(5) of BNS.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that in between 30.05.2024 to 17.03.2025 a total amount of Rs.4,16,96,238/-has been received in seven accounts of account holders of Bank of Maharashtra, Branch Rajim from the various cyber frauds committed by several persons in the different state of country and the accounts have been found to be used to receive money for online fraud and for illegal activities hence crime was registered against seven mule Bank account holders and investigation was taken up and during the investigation account holders have been arrested and their memorandum statement has been recorded, in which some of accused have deposed the name of present applicant, as he has taken their passbook and during the investigation present applicant and co accused persons have been arrested and after completing the investigation charge sheet has been filed against them.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He would submit that the present applicant has been arrested only on the basis of memorandum of co-accused persons and nothing has been seized from the possession of present applicant. He further submits that co-accused Mohnish Kumar Tandiya has already been granted bail by the Supreme Court in SLP (Cr.) No. 18750/2025 vide order dated 22.01.2026



and co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Court namely Pawan Kumar Miri in M.Cr.C. No. 985/2026 on 29/01/2026, Yuvraj Adil in MCRC No.1271/2026 on 05/02/2026, Ravi Sonkar in MCRC No.1552/2026 on 12/02/2026. He would submit that the charge sheet has been filed in this case, the applicant is in jail since 23/12/2025 and conclusion of trial will take some time, therefore, he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and she would submit that charge sheet has been filed in this case before the competent court but she do not dispute the fact that co-accused person has been granted bail by the Supreme Court and also by this Court.
5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation levelled against the applicant, further the fact that co-accused namely Mohnish Kumar Tandiya has already been granted bail by the Supreme Court in SLP (Cr.) No. 18750/2025 vide order dated 22.01.2026 and co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Court namely Pawan Kumar Miri in M.Cr.C. No. 985/2026 on 29/01/2026, Yuvraj Adil in MCRC No.1271/2026 on 05/02/2026, Ravi Sonkar in MCRC No.1552/2026 on 12/02/2026, period of detention of the applicant since 23/12/2025, charge sheet has been filed and also



considering the fact that trial is likely to take some time for its conclusion, therefore this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed and it is directed that the Applicant- **Ajmal Raza @ Babar**, involved in Crime No.145/2025 registered at Police Station Rajim, Distt. Gariyaband (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 317(2), 317(4), 318(4), 111, 61(2)(a), 3(5) of BNS, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under



2026:CGHC:10061

Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court for necessary information and compliance.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE