



2026:CGHC:10013



2026:CGHC:10013

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 2089 of 2026**

Smt. Seema Dhritlahare W/o Kharun Prasad Dhritlahare Aged About 35 Years Working As Peon And Posted At Govt. Middle School Danitola, Block Doundi, District Balod Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Director Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction Indravati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - District Education Officer Balod, District Balod Chhattisgarh

4 - Laleshwar Patel Working As Assistant Grade-3 At Govt. Higher Secondary School Bhaibod, Block Doundi District Balod Chhattisgarh

5 - Shailendra Kumar Chandan Working As Assistant Grade-3 At Govt. High School Nartola Block Daundi District Balod Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)



For Petitioner	:	Mr. V.K. Sharma, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Anuja Sharma, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge
Order on Board

26/02/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:

“(i) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to quash the annexure P-1 and P-2 in respect of the private respondents, in the interest of justice.

(ii) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to issue corrected seniority list and grant promotion to the petitioner above the private respondents accordingly with consequential benefit, in the interest of justice.

(iii) Any other relief which may be suitable in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also be granted.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is holding the post of Peon and is eligible for promotion on the post of Assistant Grade-III. Respondent authorities have initiated proceedings for promotion, however, petitioner was not promoted but junior to petitioner i.e. respondents No. 4 & 5 were promoted on the post of Assistant Grade-III. Upon verifying the fact, it came to knowledge of petitioner that petitioner's seniority has not been properly fixed.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that from the pleadings made in this writ petition it is appearing that promotion has been made according to gradation list. If petitioner is aggrieved with



wrong fixation of seniority, she could very well submit representation before respondent authority by raising the grievance as raised in this writ petition.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be permitted to submit a comprehensive representation before concerned respondent authority raising all the grounds as raised in this writ petition and direction be issued to concerned authority to take decision on representation to be submitted by petitioner at the earliest within specified time frame.
5. On due consideration of submission of learned counsel for the parties, nature of grievance raised, this writ petition at this stage is disposed of permitting the petitioner to submit a comprehensive representation before respondent No.3 and if such representation is submitted, concerned authority shall consider and take decision on the same in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of representation.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge