



2026:CGHC:12570



2026:CGHC:12570

NAFR

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

### MCRC No. 2089 of 2026

Ramesh Dubey S/o Late Yagyanarayan Dubey Aged About 39 Years At-  
Ataria, Ps Semhariya, District Rewa, Madhya Pradesh Presently At-  
Indranagar, Turbha, Panwel, Maharashtra

... Applicant

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through Ps Chhura, District Gariyaband, C.G.

... Respondent

(Cause title is taken from CIS)

|                      |   |                             |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| For Applicant        | : | Mr. Lukesh Ku. Mishra, Adv. |
| For Respondent/State | : | Mr. Vivek Sharma, P.L.      |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal**

**Order On Board**

**16/03/2026**

1. The victim along with her paternal uncle (Fufa) appeared virtually and recorded objection in granting bail to the applicant.
2. The applicant has preferred this 1<sup>st</sup> bail application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita Act 2023 for grant of regular bail as he was arrested in connection with crime No. 99/2025, registered at Police Station – Chhura, District- Raipur (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 64(2)(m), 65(1) of BNS and Sections 4 & 6 of the POCSO Act.
3. The prosecution's case, in short is that on 11.07.2025, the applicant induced the minor victim, taken away with him and committed sexual intercourse with her more than once. Based on above, offence has



been registered against the applicant and he was arrested on 28.08.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. No medical evidence has been brought on record against the applicant. The victim was a consenting party and had accompanied the applicant voluntarily. The applicant is in jail since 28.08.2025, out of 20 prosecution witnesses, only 7 have been examined till date and the trial is likely to take some time to be finalized. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant may be enlarged on bail.
5. On the other hand, the State's Counsel opposes the bail application stating at the time to incident, the victim was minor and she stated against the applicant, therefore, the bail application of the applicant may be rejected.
6. I have heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, evidence collected by the prosecution against the applicant and looking to the Court statement of the victim, without commenting on merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail.
8. Accordingly, the bail application is **rejected**.

Sd/-  
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)  
Judge