



2026:CGHC:10313



2026:CGHC:10313

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 2009 of 2026**

Aman Sahu S/o Shyam Sundar Sahu Aged About 21 Years R/o Village
Khamharia, Police Station- Seepat, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

--- Applicant(s)**versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through District Magistrate, Janjgir, Police
Station- Akaltara, District- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

--- Respondent(s)

For Applicant(s) : Mr. Aman Tamrakar, Advocate

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Nitansh Jaiswal, Dy. G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****27.02.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No.525/2025, registered at Police Station- Akaltara, District- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 309(4), 296, 115(2), 3(5), 312, 238(b) of the BNS and Section 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.



2. As per the prosecution case, in the intervening night between 00:00 hours to 00:30 hours, at the spot situated on the National Highway Road ahead of Hamsafar Dhaba, on the overbridge, three to four unknown persons, travelling in a white Scorpio vehicle, intercepted the applicant's truck by stopping their vehicle in front of it. The said persons allegedly abused the truck driver and helper in filthy language, forcibly entered into the cabin of the truck, assaulted them with hands and fists, and thereafter dragged them down by holding their collars at knife point. It is alleged that the accused further assaulted them with hands, fists and iron rods, and looted a sum of Rs. 85,000/- kept in the toolbox of the truck, and thereafter fled from the spot. Accordingly, the case was registered against the applicant and was arrested on 18.12.2025. Hence this bail application.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that the essential ingredients of the alleged offences are not attracted against him and there is no cogent material or evidence connecting him with the alleged crime. The MLC report reflects that the injuries sustained by the victims are simple in nature, which prima facie negates the applicability of serious penal provisions. It is further submitted that the applicant is the owner of a Scorpio car which he gives on rent for his livelihood, and the only recovery shown from him is the said Scorpio vehicle and a sum of Rs. 5,000/- in cash, both of which are lawful



possessions. No weapon or other incriminating article has been seized from his possession. Rather, the alleged knife and iron rod have been recovered from the co-accused persons. So far as criminal antecedents of the applicant are concerned, the applicant has only one antecedent which has already been compromised. The charge-sheet has already been filed and therefore his further custodial detention is not required for investigation. The applicant is in judicial custody since 18.12.2025 and the conclusion of trial is likely to take some time, hence he prays for grant of bail to the applicant.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State/non-applicant opposes the bail application of the applicant and also the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the applicant.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties, particularly the fact that no weapon or incriminating article has been recovered from the present applicant, that the injuries sustained by the victims are simple in nature as per the MLC report, that the recovery shown from the applicant is only a vehicle and a small amount of cash, and further taking into account his young age of 21 years and the period of detention since 18.12.2025, this Court is inclined to allow the bail application.



7. Let applicant, **Aman Sahu**, involved in Crime No.525/2025, registered at Police Station- Akaltara, District- Janjgir-Champa (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 309(4), 296, 115(2), 3(5), 312, 238(b) of the BNS and Section 25 and 27 of the Arms Act be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against them under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 84 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section Section 209 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.



2026:CGHC:10313

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to provide a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Abhishek