



2026:CGHC:10012

2026:CGHC:10012
NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 2078 of 2026**

1 - Paramjeet Singh Sansova S/o Late Narayan Singh Aged About 64 Years
Retired Govt. Employees Assistant Grade-3 From The Office Of Zila
Panchayat (Drda) R/o Qt. No. 03, Near Home Guard Headquarter
Nawagarh, Raigarh, Tahsil And Distt. Raigarh Chhattisgarh

--- **Petitioner(s)****versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Panchayat And Social Welfare
Department, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralay, Atal Nagar, New Raipur Distt.
Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - The Director Panchayat And Social Welfare Department, Indrawati
Bhavan, Mantralay, Atal Nagar, New Raipur Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - The Development Commissioner Vikas Bhavan, 4th Floor, Sector-19,
North Block, New Raipur, Atal Nagar, Distt. Raipur Chhattisgarh

4 - The Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat (D.R.D.A.) Raigarh Distt.
Raigarh Chhattisgarh

5 - The Collector Raigarh Distt. Raigarh Chhattisgarh

--- **Respondent(s)**

(Cause title is taken from CIS system)

For Petitioner	: Mr. M.K. Sinha, Advocate
For Respondents/State	: Mr. Vivek Verma, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu**Order On Board****26/02/2026**

1. Petitioner has filed this petition seeking following relief (s) :-

“10.1 That the Hon’ble Court be pleased to call for the
entire records in connection of the petitioner’s case.



10.2 That Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant regular pension to the petitioner by treating his service as regular w.e.f. 06.01.2010, along with all consequential benefits.

10.3 That Hon'ble Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to finalize and release pensionary benefits, including arrears, within a stipulated time frame.

10.4 That Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ directing the respondents to grant Time Bound Pay Scale to the petitioner as per applicable Rules and policies, along with consequential monetary benefits.

10.5 Grant any other relief (s) deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, including costs of the petition.

10.6 That the cost of the petition be also awarded to the petitioners."

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner was initially appointed as daily wage employee on Collector rate for clerical work on 25.04.1995. Since the date of initial appointment, petitioner is continuously working, vide order dated 06.01.2010, petitioner's services were regularized on the post of A.G.-III and accordingly the pay fixation was done. After attaining the age of superannuation, petitioner stood retired from service w.e.f. 31.07.2023 after completion of about more than 13 years of regular service, however, petitioner was not being paid the first time pay scale, which is to be paid after completion of 10 years of service and after retirement, petitioner is not being paid pension. It is also contention of learned counsel for petitioner that according to circular issued by the State Government dated 23.08.2018, the employees like petitioner, who has been



regularized pursuant to the circular of the State Government dated 05.03.2008, such employees are entitled for pension after completion of 10 years of service. Petitioner has submitted representation separately claiming time pay scale and also for pension and both the representations have been forwarded to the respondent No.3 for its approval, however, the representation submitted by petitioner and forwarding letter seeking opinion dated 16.09.2025 is still pending consideration, therefore, direction be issued to respondent No.3 to take decision on the claim of petitioner at the earliest.

3. Learned State counsel submits that from the documents enclosed along with writ petition it is appearing that claim of the petitioner is forwarded for consideration and approval to respondent No.3, therefore, he is having no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for petitioner.
4. On due consideration on the submission of learned counsel for respective parties, this writ petition at this stage is disposed of directing respondent No.3 to take decision on the claim of petitioner forwarded by the competent authority in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within further period of three months from the date of receipt of this order.
5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge