



2026:CGHC:10346



2026:CGHC:10346

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRCA No. 332 of 2026**

- Firoj Ansari S/o Shahabuddin Ansari Aged About 46 Years R/o Village - Jur, Police Outpost Baseyi, Police Station - Surajpur, District - Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant**versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Odagi, District - Surajpur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

For Applicant : Mr. Sushil Dubey, Advocate

For Respondent/State : Mr. Nitansh Jaiswal, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****27/02/2026**

1. This first anticipatory bail application under Section 482 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed by the applicant, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.63/2025 registered at Police Station – Odagi, District: Surajpur, C.G. for the offence punishable under Sections 308(2), 3(5) of BNS and Section 67(A) of I.T. Act.
2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the complaint has been filed against unknown persons that an intimate video of him with his wife has been sent to many public groups and also that he received a



phone call from unknown person who asked him to meet at village Sirasi and when the complainant went to village Sirasi where the phone holder as well as one News Reporter were present who demanded Rs. 2 Lakh for deleting the video. On the basis of the report FIR has been registered against unknown persons. On apprehension of arrest in the present case, the applicant moved an application for anticipatory bail before the learned Court below which has been rejected. Hence this application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. He also submits the applicant is a news reporter with a Hindi daily newspaper. The obscene video of the complainant was made by a local boy, who sent the video to a public group, which was received by the applicant and it was the applicant who got the obscene video deleted by the main accused. He also submits that co-accused has already been granted regular bail by this Court. Therefore, he submits that the present applicant is also entitled to be released on anticipatory bail.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel, appearing for the non - applicant/State, opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the materials available on record.
6. Considering the facts & circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, materials available on record, considering the fact that co-accused has already been granted



regular bail by this Court, therefore, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the present applicant.

7. Accordingly, the instant MCRCA is **allowed** and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant – **Firoz Ansari**, on executing a personal bond and one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting Officer, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(a) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court.

(b) The applicant shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial.

(c) The applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

(d) The applicant and the surety shall submit a copy of their adhaar card along with a coloured postcard full size photo having printed the adhaar number on it, which shall be verified by the trial Court.

(e) The applicant shall not involve himself in any offence of similar nature in future.

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Vaishali