



ROHIT
KUMAR
CHANDRA
Digitally signed
by ROHIT
KUMAR
CHANDRA

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRMP No. 592 of 2026

1 - Pramod Agrawal S/o Late Giriraj Agrawal Aged About 47 Years (Brother in law of complainant) R/o Rajrajeshwari Chowk Barghat, Tehsil- Barghat, Seoni, Madhya Pradesh.

2 - Asha Agrawal W/o Late Giriraj Agrawal Aged About 70 Years (Mother in law of complainant) R/o Rajrajeshwari Chowk Barghat, Tehsil- Barghat, Seoni, Madhya Pradesh.

3 - Rashmi Agrawal W/o Pramod Agrawal Aged About 43 Years (Sister in law of complainant) R/o Rajrajeshwari Chowk Barghat, Tehsil- Barghat, Seoni, Madhya Pradesh.

... Petitioners

versus

1 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Mahila Thana, Bhilai, Dist.- Durg C.G.

2 - Seema Agrawal D/o Late Praveen Agrawal Aged About 41 Years R/o Khursipar, Milan Chowk, Bhilai, Distt. Durg C.G.

... Respondents

Order Sheet

25/02/2026	Heard Ms. Vaishali Jeswani, learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Mr. Shailendra Sharma, learned Panel Lawyer, appearing for the State/respondent No.1 on I.A. No. 01 of 2025, which is an application for interim relief.
-------------------	---

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the marriage between the complainant and late Praveen agrawal was solemnized on 08.07.2010. The husband of the complainant had passed away on 07.04.2021 from corona-virus and thereafter, the complainant had left her matrimonial house with all her belongings and jewellery thereafter, the complainant / respondent No.2 had lodged a complaint against the petitioners herein on 31.07.2022 pursuant to which multiple counseling sessions were held and as compromise was reached between the parties, no FIR was lodged. She also submitted that earlier the complainant had lodged a complaint under Section 156(3) of CrPC under Section 498-A and 420 of IPC against the petitioners herein which was dismissed by the learned JMFC, Durg vide order dated 07.08.2023 in MJC Cri/11062/2023 titled Seema Agrawal vs. Pramod Agrawal and Ors and the same was put up for revision by the complainant, which was also dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge (FTC) Durg vide

order dated 19.02.2025 in CRR No. 144/2024 titled Seema Agrawal Vs. Pramod Agrawal and Ors. She also submits that the complainant with the sole intention to harass the petitioners has again preferred this FIR from perusal of which it transpires that the allegations against the petitioners are omnibus allegations and no specific instance has been mentioned to show when and how the petitioners harassed the complainant. The petitioners are the in-laws of the complainant and have treated her with the utmost respect and dignity and have unconditionally tendered to her needs.

Issue notice to respondent No.2 on payment of PF as per rules. Notice be made returnable within four weeks.

List the matter thereafter.

Till the next date of listing or till submission of Police Report under Section 193(3) of the BNSS, if any, before the competent Court, whichever is earlier, the arrest of petitioners, namely, **Pramod Agrawal, Asha Agrawal and Rashmi Agrawal** in pursuance of the

impugned FIR dated 30.01.2026 bearing Crime No. 0011 of 2026, registered at Police Station, Mahila Thana, Bhilai, District – Durg shall remain stayed, of course subject to the restraint that the petitioners shall fully cooperate with the investigation and shall appear as and when called upon to assist in the investigation.

Sd/-
(Ravindra Kumar Agrawal)
Judge

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice