



2026:CGHC:10189



2026:CGHC:10189

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2008 of 2026

Rohit Jatav S/o Sripal Jatav Aged About 23 Years R/o Village - Ganeshpur,
Ganjdandwara, P.S. - Ganjdandwara, District - Kasganj, (U.P.)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer Hatbandh, District -
Baloda Bazaar-Bhatapara, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Surfaraj Khan, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mrs. Apoorva Nigam, Panel Lawyer along with Ms. Shailja Shukla, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

27.02.2026

- Applicant has filed this **second bail** application under Section 483 of
Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail as he
has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 124/2025 registered at
Police Station –Hatbandh, District – Balod Bazaar - Bhatapara, (C.G.)
for offence punishable under Section 137(2), 87, 64(2)(m), 65(1), 3(5)



of BNS and Section 4 and 6 of POCSO Act. First Bail Application of the applicant was withdrawn vide order dated 30.10.2025 in MCRC No. 8278 of 2025.

2. Case of prosecution in brief is that applicant had kept the victim in his house and according to the victim she was taken away by the applicant in a temple where he performed marriage with her, and thereafter, applicant has committed sexual intercourse upon her. Age of the victim appears to be only sixteen years as per the deposition of victim and her parents.
3. Learned counsel for applicant submits that applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime, he has not committed offence as alleged. He further submits that victim has been examined by learned trial Court and she has not supported the case. Applicant is in jail since 21.07.2025 i.e. more than seven months has elapsed and as of now only 04 have been examined before the learned trial Court out of total 24 witnesses, hence trial may take a considerable time to conclude, therefore, applicant be enlarged on bail.
4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission made by learned senior counsel for applicant.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents enclosed along with the bail application.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation and submission made by learned counsel for respective parties as also the documents enclosed, including deposition of



victim and her mother, I do not find present to be a fit case to allow the application, accordingly, application is **dismissed**.

Certified copy as per rules.

sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE

alfiza