



ALLENA
ANNAJEE
RAO

Digitally
signed by
ALLENA
ANNAJEE
RAO
Date:
2026.02.27
12:27:29
+0530



2026:CGHC:10107

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 1972 of 2026

Dileep Das Mahant S/o Jivan Das Mahant Aged About 29 Years R/o
Sarwani P.S. Kotra Road, District- Raigarh (C.G.) ... **Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh through- Station House Officer, P.S. Chandrapur
District- Sakti (C.G.) ... **Respondent**

For the applicant	:	Mr. T. K. Jha, Advocate with Mr. Tapan Kumar Chandra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anish Tiwari, Dy.G.A.

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)

Order on Board

26.02.2026

1. This is first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant in Crime No. 94/2025 registered at Police Station Chandrapur, District Sakti (CG) for the offences under Sections 332(A), 103(1), 305(A), 331(4), 3(5), 317(2) & 238 of BNS.

2. As per the prosecution case, complainant Smt. Tashila Sahu lodged a complaint on 29.09.2025 that one Kamal Sahu called her through his mobile and asked to come to his house urgently then she and her son came to the spot and saw that her husband Harihar Sahu died and various injuries found on his body caused by sharp object. During investigation, accused Sachin Kumar Sahis and Rajesh Nag @ K.K. was interrogated and they admitted the murder of deceased Harihar and looted his mobile. Other accused Shambhu is absconding. The allegation against the present applicant Dileep Das Mahant is that he had purchased the stolen mobile phone of deceased from the main accused.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant has purchased a stolen mobile from the main accused and during investigation, the said mobile which belonged to deceased was seized by the police from the present applicant. He submits that the main allegation of murder is against 3 accused persons namely Sambhu, Sachin and Rakesh and the involvement of the present applicant was limited to purchasing a stolen mobile phone from the main accused after the murder occurred. He next submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime merely on the basis of memorandum of other main accused. He submits that the applicant is in jail since 28.11.2025 and there is no immediate possibility of disposal of case, therefore, he may be enlarged on bail.

4. Per contra, learned State Counsel opposes the bail application and submits that the charge is yet to be framed, therefore, at this stage, the applicant may not be released on bail.

5. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and the over all facts and circumstances of the case and further looking to the nature of allegation levelled against the applicant that he merely purchased the stolen mobile from the main accused, I am inclined to release him on regular bail.

6. Accordingly, this bail application is allowed and the applicant Dileep Das Mahant is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court for his appearance before the said Court as and when directed in that behalf.

Cc as per rules.

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge

Rao