

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****CRMP No. 601 of 2026**

Narottam Lal Yadav S/o Bhagirathi Yadav Aged About 66 Years R/o
Ward No. 10, Jairamnagar, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of Home,
Mahanadi Bhavan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

2 - The Director General Of Police, Police Department, New Raipur,
District Raipur C.G.

3 - Superintendent Of Police, Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa C.G.

4 - Station House Officer, Police Station Ajak Janjgir, District Janjgir-
Champa C.G.

5 - Ku. Alina Rai, D/o Vedprakash Rai Aged About 19 Years R/o Village
Amora, Police Station Akaltara, District Janjgir-Champa C.G.

... Respondent(s)

Order Sheet

26/02/2026	Heard Mr.Pawan Kumar Kashyap, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr.S.S.Baghel, learned Government Advocate appearing for respondents No.1 to



4/State and perused the impugned FIR as well as material brought on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations against the petitioner are false and fabricated. The petitioner was not present at the incident place; he was in Court approaching an advocate for another case. The petitioner has applied for CCTV footage and gate pass under RTI to prove his innocence. He further submits that the complainant has cooked up a concocted story to implicate the petitioner and the police did not conduct a proper investigation before registering the FIR. No prima facie case is made out against the petitioner. The FIR does not mention the petitioner's involvement in the alleged offences. The allegations, even if taken as true, do not constitute an offence under IPC. The respondent has abused the process of law by filing a false complaint. The petitioner has been falsely implicated without sufficient reason and the police authorities did not take proper investigation before registering the FIR under Sections 296, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS and Section 3(2)(va), 3(1)(r), 3(1)(5) of the SC/ST Act. He also submits that the



Bablu	petitioner had earlier filed Cr.M.P. No. 414/2026, which was withdrawn due to improper mention of the incident. Issue notice to the respondents. Mr.S.S.Baghel, learned Government Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. Notice be issued to respondent No.5 by ordinary as well as by speed post, making it returnable within four weeks. PF be paid within seven days. List this matter after receipt of service report upon respondent No.5. Till the next date of listing, the petitioner shall not be arrested pursuant to the impugned FIR No. 01 of 2026 registered at Police Station AJAK, Janjgir, District Janjgir Champa, of course, subject to cooperation of the petitioner with investigation. <table><tr><td data-bbox="470 1500 949 1702"> Sd/- (Ravindra Kumar Agrawal) Judge </td><td data-bbox="949 1500 1375 1702"> Sd/- (Ramesh Sinha) Chief Justice </td></tr></table>	Sd/- (Ravindra Kumar Agrawal) Judge	Sd/- (Ramesh Sinha) Chief Justice
Sd/- (Ravindra Kumar Agrawal) Judge	Sd/- (Ramesh Sinha) Chief Justice		