



Digitally signed by
AJAY KUMAR
DWIVEDI
DN: cn=AJAY
KUMAR DWIVEDI,
ou=HIGH COURT,
o=HIGH COURT OF
CHHATTISGARH,
st=Chhattisgarh,
c=IN
Date: 2026.02.27
14:13:25 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 800 of 2026

M/s Bijapur Indravati Farmers Producer Company Limited Through Its Chief Executive Officer Raziya Begum, D/o Yusuf Beg, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Near Kanya High School Road, Indira Gandhi Ward, District Bijapur 49444, Having Its Registered Office At R/o C/o Smt Basanti Allur W/o Shri Pandu Ram Allur, Hospital Bijapur, District Bijapur (C.G.)

... Petitioner.

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Collector, Bijapur, District Bijapur (C.G.)
2. District Skill Development Authority Bijapur Through Its Chief Executive Officer, First Floor Room No. D-19, Collectorate Office Campus, Bijapur, District Bijapur (C.G.)
3. Jila Panchayat Bijapur Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Near Collector Office Bijapur, District Bijapur (C.G.)

... Respondents.

Order Sheet

27/02/2026	Mr. Bhaskar Payashi, Counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Purunendra Khichariya, PL for respondent No.1 /State. Mr. Shobhit Mishra, Counsel for respondent No.3. Since respondents No.1 & 3 have already been represented, notice against them is dispensed with. Heard on application for amendment in Writ Petition (IA No.2/2026). Counsel for the respondents would not oppose the proposed amendment.
------------	---

	On due consideration, IA No.2/2026 is allowed. Learned counsel for the petitioner shall carry out necessary amendment in writ petition, during the course of the day, under his signature. Heard on application for interim relief/stay (IA No.1/2026). Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is running C-Mart in the building of Assistant Director, Jila Koushal Vikas Authority, Bijapur since 2023, however, eviction notice dated 11.02.2026 (Annexure-P/1) has been issued to the petitioner in a vindictive manner by the Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Bijapur (respondent No.3) only because petitioner has made a complaint against the Chief Executive Officer Jila Panchayat Bijapur. Therefore, interim protection may be granted to the petitioner. On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that allegation levelled by the petitioner is false because when respondent No.3 has sought relevant documents of C-Mart i.e. account register, meeting register and documents related to the contributory funds and FPO, he could not provide the same within time. Further, on 10.02.2026 during inspection in the C-Mart it was found that the petitioner is selling expired products. Hence, the impugned order has been issued which is just and proper and interim protection may not be granted to the petitioner. However, he prays for sometime to file reply.
--	--

	Counsel for respondent No.1 would oppose the prayer for interim relief, however, he also prays for sometime to file reply. As prayed, 2 weeks' time is granted to the above respondents to file reply. However, considering the submission of learned counsel for the parties, facts and circumstances of the case, purely as an interim measure, it is directed that the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 11.02.2026 (Annexure-P/1) shall remain stayed, till the next date of hearing. After amendment in writ petition, notice be issued to respondent No.2 on main petition as well as on application for interim relief (IA No.1/2026). PF be paid within a week. List this case thereafter. Sd/- (Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi) Judge
--	---

Ajay