



2026:CGHC:9545



2026:CGHC:9545

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 1900 of 2026**

- Amit Kumar Maurya @ Amit Kumar Mourya S/o Umashankar Maurya @ Umashankar Mourya Aged About 37 Years R/o Ward No. 10, Baradwar, Near Petrol Pump, Baradwar, P.S. Baradwar, Distt. Sakti, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant**versus**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through Officer In Charge, P.S. Kumhari, Distt. Durg, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)**

For Applicant : Mr. Aman Tamboli, Advocate

For Respondent/State : Mr. Soumya Rai, Dy.G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**Order on Board****24.02.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 154/2025, registered at Police Station – Kumhari, District – Durg (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 347, 112(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. The case of the prosecution, is that the complainant, Radheshyam



Verma, posted as Tahsildar at Tahsil Office, Ahiwara, lodged a written report at Police Station Kumhari, District Durg alleging that certain persons had fraudulently obtained User I.D. Code No. PAT 430600016 allotted to Patwari Krishna Kumar Sinha and, by misusing the same, prepared forged online and revenue documents relating to lands situated at Village Borsi and Chetua, Achhoti and Murmunda and in all the forged prepared Khasra No., one deed no.RD2023245505044 00001 is alleged to have been used which is actually belonging to Village Dhunchapur, RI Circle Korbi, Tehsil Podi Uroda, District Korba. It is alleged that on 16/06/2025, Patwari Krishna Kumar Sinha received a phone call from mobile no.9630493572 on his mobile no.9926150147 and the caller told him that he is from a bank and asked him whether the receiver is Patwari of Village Borsi and in response the applicant told yes. Then, the caller asked him whether Khasra No.23/4, 23/5, 23/6 and 23/7 belongs to his Halka of Village Borsi and then the caller asked the Patwari to talk to one Daleshwar Sahu who is known to the Patwari since earlier. As per the Patwari, Daleshwar Sahu is from HDFC Bank. He send the photographs of Kisan Kitab and Khasra to Patwari and asked whether the signature are his and upon inspection of the documents, the Patwari found that the entries in Kisan Kitab No.569040 and Khasra No.23/4, 23/5, 23/6, 23/7 admeasuring 1.440, 1.250, 1.760, 1.560 total 4 Khasra total admeasuring 6.00 Hectares were endorsed on the name of Pravin Kumar S/o Jaiprakash and when the documents were verified with the Adhikar Abhilekh of 1985-86, it was found suspicious and then the Patwari called back on that no. and informed that the documents are forged and the signature were not his. It is alleged that thereafter the Patwari verified all the Khasra No. in Bhuiyan Portal from the Manual



Revenue Records and submitted a report on 30/06/2025. Based on his report, the matter was referred to the Sub-Divisional Officer (Revenue) who passed the order for deleting the forged entries and accordingly the forged entries have been removed. Based on these information, the FIR bearing Crime No.154/2025 was registered in Police Station Kumhari, District Durg. It is alleged that during the investigation, the applicant was arrested in relation with Crime No.201/2025 registered at Police Station Nandini and based on his memorandum statement, he has been arrayed as an accused in the present case. Hence this application for his release on bail.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and there is only one criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant and same is mentioned in the bail application. It is further submitted that co-accused has already been granted regular bail by this Court in MCRC No.9709 of 2025 vide order dated 21.01.2026 the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. The applicant is in jail since 09.12.2025 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that there is one criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant, and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the



fact that there is only one criminal antecedents registered against the present applicant, co-accused has already been granted regular bail by this Court in MCRC No.9709 of 2025 vide order dated 21.01.2026 the charge-sheet has been filed, the applicant is in jail since 09.12.2025 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application on the ground of parity.

7. Let applicant, **Amit Kumar Maurya @ Amit Kumar Mourya**, involved in Crime No.154/2025, registered at Police Station – Kumhari, District – Durg (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 347, 112(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS), be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond** with **two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the



2026:CGHC:9545

Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice

Vaishali