2026:CGHC:9817

2026:CGHC:9817

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 2029 of 2026

1 - Yogesh Kumar Verma S/o Shri Mangal Ram Verma Aged About 39 Years R/o Village And Post Dara, Tahsil- Dongargarh, District- Rajnandgaon C.G.

... Petitioner

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur C.G.

2 - Director, Panchayat Sanchalnalay, Development Bhavan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur C.G.

3 - Deputy Director, Chhattisgarh State Audit, Rajnandgaon C.G.

4 - Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Rajnandgaon, District- Rajnandgaon C.G.

5 - Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Dongargarh, District Rajnandgaon C.G.

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Love Kumar Rametke, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Ms. Anuja Sharma, Dy. Government Advocate

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order on Board

25.02.2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:-

2026:CGHC:9817

10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly issue direction to the respondents to make correct calculations as per Schedule 2 of the Pay Revision Rules, 2009.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly issue an appropriate writ for correct compliance in Annexure P/1 dated 18.10.2024.

10.3 This Hon'ble Court may kindly issue an appropriate writ to the respondent to grant salary as per Annexure p/3 to the petitioner.

10.4 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other relief or pass such other and further orders as may be deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice."

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner is entitled for revised pay scale pursuant to the circular issued by Respondent no. 3 dated 18.10.2024 vide Annexure P-1, however, till date the said benefit has not been extended to petitioner.

3. Upon asking, learned counsel for petitioner submits that whether he has approached the authority by filing an appeal/representation seeking for reliefs as prayed by him in this writ petition, he submits that no such representation/appeal has been submitted by petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for State submits that if petitioner submits an appeal/representation raising all the grounds as raised in this writ petition, it will be considered in accordance with law.

5. On due consideration of submission made by learned counsel for the respective parties and nature of grievances raised in this writ petition, I find it appropriate to dispose of this writ petition at this stage, permitting

2026:CGHC:9817

petitioner to submit a comprehensive representation raising all the grounds as raised in this writ petition before Respondents No. 2 and 3 and, if such a representation is submitted, concerned authority shall consider and take decision on the representation to be submitted by petitioner, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a further period of three months from the date of receipt of the order.

6. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with aforesaid observation and direction.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey