



2026:CGHC:10127



2026:CGHC:10127

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No. 1982 of 2026

Santosh Suryavanshi S/o Late Bhuwan Lal Suryavanshi Aged About 44 Years R/o Gokul Nagar, Ghuru Ward No. 04, Police Station Sakri, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Sakri, Tah and District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent

(Cause title is taken from the CIS)

For Applicant : Mr. Sandeep Jha, Advocate

For State-Respondent : Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, GA.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Arvind Kumar Verma

Order on Board

26/02/2026

1. This is the **third bail** application filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who



has been arrested in connection with Crime No.233/2022 registered at Police Station Sakri, District Bilaspur (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 302, 34 of the IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act.

2. First and Second bail application of applicant were dismissed on merits by this Court.
3. Case of the prosecution in brief is that on 02.05.2022 at about 8.00 p.m., when the Complainant was in his home, his mother-Meena Bai Yadav informed him that applicant and his son (Surendra @ Lalu Suryavanshi) has caused injury to Santosh Yadav by means of knife near Abhishek Kirana Store, thereafter, he reached there and saw that Santosh Yadav was lying on the ground with blood. Applicant and his son Surendra @ Lalu Suryavanshi ran away during night time when they saw the complainant is coming. Santosh Yadav was having injured in front part of chest, back part of chest, head etc. and bleeding was seen by the complainant. The complainant shouted and called his cousin brothers (Lalit Yadav and Rahul Yadav) and they checked the Santosh Yadav at that time, he was alive and immediately called 108, but before reaching 108 Ambulance



service, they took the Santosh Yadav to CIMS Bilaspur with the help of auto owned by Nagesh Kashyap. The doctor of the CIMS Bilaspur checked the Santosh Yadav and declared him died and then send the dead body to mortuary. Based on complaint lodged by the complainant, F.I.R was registered against the accused/applicant for the alleged crime/offence.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant is an innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Seizure witnesses have turned hostile and not supported the case of prosecution. The applicant is in jail since 03.05.2022 and thereby already served about 03 years, 09 months and 13 days of jail sentence, trial will take considerable time for its conclusion, therefore, looking to the detention period, applicant may be released on bail.
5. Learned State Counsel opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant and submits that nature of death of the deceased is homicidal, therefore, he may not be released on bail.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.



7. Considering the facts of the case, submission made by learned counsel for the parties, nature of offence, particularly the fact that first and second bail application of applicant were rejected/dismissed on merits by this Court and there is no substantial change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to entertain this third bail application.

8. Accordingly, the third bail application of the applicant is hereby rejected/dismissed.

CC as per rules.

Sd/-

(Arvind Kumar Verma)
Judge

J.