



2026:CGHC:17377

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 1041 of 2026**

Smt. Shakuntala Dubey W/o Lt. Shatruhan Prasad Dubey Aged About 60 Years
R/o Village Saida, Tahsil-Sakri, District- Bilaspur (CG)

... Petitioner.**versus**

- 1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through-The Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, District-Raipur (CG)
- 2 - The Collector Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (CG)
- 3 - The Tahsildar/nayab Tahsildar Sakri, District- Bilaspur (CG)
- 4 - The Patwari Halka No. 41, R.N.M. Amasena, Tahsil Sakri, District- Bilaspur (CG)
- 5 - Ishwar Prasad Dubey S/o Lt. Bharat Lal Dubey Aged About 58 Years R/o Village Saida, Tahsil-Sakri, District- Bilaspur (CG)
- 6 - Smt. Pushpa Pandey W/o Dharmendra Pandey Aged About 52 Years D/o Lt. Bharat Lal Dubey, R/o- Village- Puran, Tahsil And District- Mungeli (CG)
- 7 - Smt. Garyati Pandey W/o Rabis Pandey Aged About 40 Years D/o Lt. Shatruhan Prasad Dubey, R/o Village Seepat, Tahsil Seepat, District- Bilaspur (CG)
- 8 - Smt. Kiran Tiwari W/o Jitendra Kumar Tiwari Aged About 36 Years D/o Lt. Shatruhan Parasad Dubey, R/o Village Limha, Tahsil Takhatpur District- Bilaspur (CG)
- 9 - Smt. Nisha Gauraha W/o Gopesh Grauraha Aged About 31 Years D/o Lt. Shatruhan Prasad Dubey R/o Village- Baloda, Tahsil- Baloda, District-Janjgir-Champa (CG)

... Respondents.



For Petitioner	:	Mr. Paras Mani Shriwas, Advocate.
For Res No.1 to 4	:	Dr. Arham Siddiqui, Panel Lawyer.

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Order on Board

16/04/2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed application before the Tahsildar Sakri for online and offline correction in revenue map, but the same has been rejected by the order dated 14.01.2026 (Annexure-P/1) without any justifiable reason. Hence, the writ petition may be admitted for hearing.
2. When a specific question was put to the counsel for the petitioner, he fairly admitted that the impugned order passed by the concerned Tahsildar is appealable under Section 44 of the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code, 1959.
3. Learned State counsel has conceded the aforesaid fact and submits that there is efficacious alternative remedy under the aforesaid provision.
4. In view of the above, as the impugned order is appealable under Section 44 of the Code, 1959, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition in light of the available efficacious alternative remedy. However, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to challenge the impugned order under the provision of the Code, 1959 as aforesaid.
5. With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge

Ajay