



2026:CGHC:9870



2026:CGHC:9870

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 834 of 2026**

Anjana Mandal W/o Ravindra Mandal Aged About 48 Years R/o Shilphili, Thana-Jainagar, Tehsil Surajpur, District Surajpur (Chhattisgarh)

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Secretary, Department Of Revenue And Disaster Management, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Distt- Raipur (C.G.)

2 - The Commissioner Surguja Division, Ambikapur, Distt- Surguja, C.G.

3 - The Collector Ambikapur, Distt- Surguja, C.G.

4 - Sub-Divisional Officer (R) Ambikapur, Distt- Surguja, C.G.

5 - Tehsildar Ambikapur Distt- Surguja, C.G.

6 - Deputy Registrar Ambikapur Ambikapur, Distt- Surguja, C.G.

7 - Sandeep Ghosh S/o Shyam Sundar Ghosh Aged About 38 Years R/o Subhashnagar, Thana Gandhinagar, Tehsil Ambikapur, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

... Respondents

For Petitioner : Mr. Sajal Kumar Gupta, Advocate

For Respondents/State : Mr. Purnendra Khichariya, Panel Lawyer

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)**Order on Board****25.02.2026**

1. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking following relief(s):-

“a) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction directing Respondent No. 3 Collector, Surguja, to decide the



2026:CGHC:9870

petitioner's application for grant of permission to sell rehabilitation land under Section 165(7-B) of the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code, bearing Revenue Proceeding No. 202106020700234 (Year 2020-2021, Class B-121), within a stipulated time frame, preferably within an outer limit of 15 days;

b) Pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice."

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to file better constituted petition.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents/State has no objection on the above prayer.
4. On due consideration, prayer is allowed and he is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty as prayed for.
5. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
6. Registry is directed to return certified copy of the impugned order after retaining a photocopy of the same in the record.
7. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)

Judge