



2026:CGHC:9807



2026:CGHC:9807

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 1912 of 2026**

1 - Deenu Manjhi S/o Dilip Manjhi Aged About 19 Years R/o Dindyal Colony, Near Laxmi Nagar, Thana - Basantpur, Distt. Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant**versus**

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh, Through SHO, Thana Kotwali, Distt. Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent

For Applicant : Mr. Samir Singh, Adv.

For Respondent/State : Mr. Aman Tamrakar, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal**Order on Board****25/02/2026**

Heard.

Admit.

1. The accused/applicant has moved this **first** bail application under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for releasing him on regular bail during trial in connection with Crime No. 489/2025 registered at Police Station – Kotwali, District – Rajnandgaon (C.G.) for the offence punishable under



Sections 109(1), 126(2), 3(5) of BNS and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act.

2. As per the prosecution's case, on 09.09.2025, complainant Aryan Shrivastava, received a phone call from injured Tek Prasad Bhoi that he was going to Jodhpur Dhaba on his motorcycle at 4:00 am to have tea and snacks, at that time, Three unknown boys demanded money from him to fill petrol, and when he refused, they quarreled with him, took his Bluetooth earphone, and tried to snatch his mobile. They also stabbed him with a sharp knife in the back and left thigh with the intention to kill him. Further, it is alleged that the applicant along with another major co-accused, held the victim, and another co-accused (juvenile) assaulted the victim by means of a knife. The alleged knife has been seized from co-accused Gourav Sahu. Based on this offence has been registered against the present applicant and other co-accused persons.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that nothing incriminating has been seized from the present applicant. The applicant has no criminal antecedents, and he is a 19-year-old boy, who is in jail since 14.09.2025, and there is no likelihood of his case being decided in the near future; therefore, the present applicant may be released on bail.
4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that the applicant along with two other co-accused, including a juvenile, has committed the assault on the victim by means of a knife, due to which the victim sustained multiple injuries. The applicant has also played an active role in the said crime, and in the test identification parade, the victim has



identified the applicant. The trial has not commenced yet, and the bail application of the Juvenile co-accused has already been rejected by this Court; therefore, at this stage, he may also not be enlarged on bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, particularly looking to the material available on record, the evidence collected by the prosecution against the applicant, the gravity of the offence and also considering the fact that the trial has not commenced yet, at this stage, without commenting on merits of the case, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, is **rejected**.
7. Office is directed to sent a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-
(**Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal**)
Judge

H.L. Sahu