



2026:CGHC:1147-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**CRMP No. 388 of 2022**

1. Suresh Chandra S/o Panchram Chandra, Aged About 39 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Rajkumari Chandra W/o Shri Suresh Chandra, Aged About 29 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Sushila Yadav W/o Shri Kaushal Prasad Yadav, Aged About 31 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
4. Shyamlal Chandra S/o Shri Jairam Chandra, Aged About 40 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
5. Shobit Chandra S/o Shri Jairam Chandra, Aged About 42 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
6. Govind Chandra, S/o Shri Jatiram Chandra, Aged About 32 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
7. Keshav Chandra S/o Sitaram Chandra, Aged About 25 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
8. Bharat Ram Chandra S/o Javahir Chandra, Aged About 41 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh



9. Kaushal Chandra S/o Munshiram Chandra, Aged About 36 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, Tahsil Jaijaipur, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

--- **Petitioner(s)**

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Acting Through Officer-In-Charge Police Station Hasaud, District Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh), District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
2. Vijay Kumar Chandra S/o Bhagwan Lal Chandra, Aged About 28 Years R/o Bhatmahul, P.S. Hasaud, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
3. Randhir Kashyap S/o Lakhanlal Kashyap, Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Malda, Police Station Hasaud, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh
4. Sammay Lal Jaiswal S/o Radheshyam Jaiswal, Aged About 57 Years R/o Bhatmahul, Police Station Hasaud, District Janjgir Champa Chhattisgarh, District : Janjgir-Champa, Chhattisgarh

--- **Respondent(s)**

CRR No. 402 of 2022

- Randhir Kashyap S/o Lakhan Lal, Aged About 27 Years R/o Malda, Police Station - Hassaud, District - Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

---**Petitioner(s)**

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Hassaud, District - Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

--- **Respondent(s)**

In CRMP 388/2022

For Petitioner	: Mr. Ramesh Nayak, Adv
For State/Respondent No. 1	: Mr. Dharmesh Shrivastava, Dy. A.G.
For Respondent No. 2	: Mr. Parag Kotecha, Adv.
For Respondent No. 3	: Mr. Goutam Khetrapal, Advo-



For Respondent No. 4.

cate
Mr. Ghanshyam Patel, Advoca-
cate

In CRR 402/2022

For Petitioner

For State

: Mr. Goutam Khetrapal, Advoca-
: cate
Mr. Dharmesh Shrivastava,
Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar Verma, Judge

Order on Board

Per, Arvind Kumar Verma, Judge

08/01/2026

1. Since the both these petitions i.e. CRMP No. 388 of 2022 and CRR No 402 of 2022 filed by the petitioners arise out of same Session Trial No. 26/2021 they are heard together and are being disposed of by this common order.
2. In CRMP No. 388 of 2022, at the very outset, learned counsel appearing for the respondent/complainant submitted that the prayer made in the present petition is confined only to quashment of FIR No. 20/2021. However, from the pleadings themselves, it is apparent that the charge-sheet has already been filed against the petitioners and charges were framed by the Trial Court on 06.12.2021. The said order framing charges has not been challenged, despite the present petition having been filed on 02.03.2022 on behalf of the petitioners through their counsel. It was further pointed out that as many as seven prosecution witnesses, including the complainant, have already been examined.
3. In view of the fact that the trial is at an advanced stage, this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter at this juncture. Accordingly, the present CRMP No. 388/2022 stands **dismissed**, with direction to the



Trial Court to proceed with the trial in accordance with law.

4. CRR No. 402 of 2022 has been filed by the petitioner seeking quashment of the impugned order and the charges framed thereunder (Annexure A/1), as well as quashment of FIR No. 20/2021 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 387, 120-B read with 34, and 302 read with 115 of the Indian Penal Code.
5. From the pleadings on record, it transpires that although the impugned order has been challenged, the trial is already in progress and as many as seven prosecution witnesses, including the complainant, have been examined. Since the trial has reached an advanced stage, we are not inclined to interfere in the matter at this juncture. The Trial Court is, therefore, directed to proceed with the trial in accordance with law.
6. Consequently, CRMP No. 388 of 2022 as well as CRR No. 402 of 2022 stand **dismissed**.
7. The interim order passed in CRR No. 402 of 2022 stands vacated.

Sd/-

(Arvind Kumar Verma)

Judge

Sd/-

(Ramesh Sinha)

Chief Justice