



2026:CGHC:10312



2026:CGHC:10312

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 2024 of 2026

1. Raja Uikey S/o Shri Anjor Singh Uikey Aged About 28 Years R/o Nilja, Adiwasi para, Thana Kharora, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Ranjit S/o Shri Anjor Singh Uikey Aged About 21 Years R/o Nilja, Adiwasi para, Thana Kharora, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Rama Singh S/o Shri Khetu Singh Mandavi Aged About 21 Years R/o Nilja, Adiwasi para, Thana Kharora, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Omkar S/o Shri Subren Mandavi Aged About 35 Years R/o Nilja, Adiwasi para, Thana Kharora, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Applicants

versus

State of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Kharora, Distt. Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

... Non-applicant

For Applicants	: Mr. Krishna Kumar Khatri, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	: Mr. Shubham Bajpai, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice

Order on Board

27.02.2026

1. The applicants have preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 770/2025, registered at Aarakshi Kendra : Kharora, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 333, 296, 351(3), 115(2), 117(2) and 191(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.



2. The brief prosecution story is that after conducting investigation against the accused, the prosecution found a prima facie case of assault made out against him. Accordingly, Police Station Kharora, District Raipur (C.G.), registered Crime No. 770/2025 against the applicant/accused for the offences punishable under Sections 333, 296, 351(3), 115(2), 117(2), and 191(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS). After registration of the case, the police collected evidence and continued the investigation against the applicants. They were arrested and produced before the Court of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class (JMFC), Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.). The matter is triable by the Court of learned JMFC, Tilda.
3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the present applicants are innocent persons who have been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. It is also submitted that only applicant No. 2 has one criminal antecedent under the IPC of the year 2013, whereas the other applicants have no criminal antecedents. The applicants have been in custody since 05.11.2025, and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time. Therefore, he prays for the grant of regular bail to the applicants.
4. On the other hand learned State counsel opposes the bail application of the present applicants and submits that the charge-sheet has been submitted before the competent Court in the present case.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.
6. Considering the case's facts and circumstances, the nature and gravity of the offense, and further the fact that injury received by the injured person



found to be simple in nature and further, the charge-sheet has already been submitted before the competent Court in the present case and the applicants are in jail since 05.11.2025, conclusion of the trial is likely to take sometime, therefore, I am of the opinion that the applicants are entitled to be released on bail in this case.

7. Let the applicants – **Raja Uikey, Ranjit, Rama Singh** and **Omkar** , involved in Crime No. 770/2025, registered at Aarakshi Kendra : Kharora, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 333, 296, 351(3), 115(2), 117(2) and 191(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, be released on bail on his furnishing a **personal bond** with **two sureties each** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicants shall file an undertaking to the effect that they shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in Court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicants shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through their counsel. In case of their absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against them under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicants misuse the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure their presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicants fail to appear before the court on the date fixed in such



2026:CGHC:10312

proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against them, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicants shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicants are deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against them in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-
(Ramesh Sinha)
Chief Justice**

Kunal