



2026:CGHC:14317

**NAFR****HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****MCRC No. 1945 of 2026**

**1** - Vishnu Yadav @ Rahul Yadav S/o Hemlal Yadav, Aged About 21 Years, R/o Amapali, P.S. Baradwar, District Sakti, Chhattisgarh.

**... Applicant****versus**

**1** - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Sakti, District Sakti, Chhattisgarh.

**... Respondent**


---

For Applicant : Mr. Anchal Kumar Matre, Adv.

For Respondent/State : Ms. Sunita Manikpuri, G.A.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal****Order On Board****25/03/2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this first bail application under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita Act, 2023 for grant of regular bail as he is arrested in connection with Crime No. 321/2024, registered at Police Station – Sakti, District-Sakti (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 87, 64(2)(m), 65(1) of BNS and Section 4, 6 of POCSO Act.
2. The prosecution's story, in brief, is that on 22.08.2024, the father of the victim has lodged a missing report against an unknown person to the effect that someone has lured his minor daughter and take her away with him. During the investigation, the victim was recovered from the possession of the applicant.

Based on above, offence has been registered against the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that the victim voluntarily accompanied the applicant and was a consenting party, therefore, no offence is made out against the appellant. He next submits that the victim and her father have been examined and they have not stated anything against the applicant. The applicant has no criminal antecedents and has been in jail since 03.12.2025 and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude, therefore, the present applicant may be released on bail.
4. Per contra, the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the bail application and submits that at the time of the incident, the victim was aged about 14 years, and out of total 10 witnesses only 05 have been examined till date, at this stage, looking to the gravity of the offence, the applicant should not be released on bail.
5. Today, the father of the victim appeared virtually through the concerned DLSA and recorded her 'no objection' in granting bail to the applicant.
6. I have heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the offence, and also taking into account that at

the time of incident, the victim was aged about only 14 years, I am not inclined to release the applicant on bail. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, is **rejected**.

8. However, considering the fact that the applicant is in jail since 03.12.2025. It is an exceptional case, therefore, concerned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as early as possible.
9. Office is directed to sent a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

**(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)**  
**Judge**

Sourabh P.