



2026:CGHC:10334



2026:CGHC:10334

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 2130 of 2026**

- 1** - Jamuna Bai W/o Shri Amol Das Aged About 54 Years Working As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1 Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 2** - Shekh Abdul Rasid S/o Shri Rahman Aged About 58 Years Working As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1 Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 3** - Lala Ram S/o Shri Samai Aged About 56 Years Working As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1 Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 4** - Mohan Das Manikpuri S/o Shri Tijau Das Aged About 53 Years Working As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1 Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 5** - Janakram S/o Shri Baran Verma Aged About 59 Years Working As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1 Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 6** - Jagdish S/o Shri Mahettar Yadav Aged About 58 Years Working As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1 Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 7** - Sukhiram Verma S/o Shri Hardev Verma Aged About 60 Years Working As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1 Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 8** - Sushil Kumar Verma S/o Shri B. L. Verma Aged About 56 Years Working As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1 Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)



9 - Chandan Yadav S/o Shri Mahettar Yadav Aged About 55 Years Working
As Gangman, Office Of Public Work Department Subdivision Sambhag 1
Bilaspur, District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Public Works Department
Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar (Naya Raipur) Distt.- Raipur
(C.G.)

2 - Chief Engineer Public Works Department Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bhawan,
Atal Nagar, (Naya Raipur) Distt.- Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Superintendent Engineer Public Works Department Region Bilaspur,
Distt.- Bilaspur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Ritesh Giri, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Khulesh Sahu, P.L.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE PARTH PRATEEM SAHU)

Order on Board

27/02/2026

1. Petitioners have filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:

"A. This Hon'ble Court be pleased to call for the entire record in respect of regularization of the employees who are working with the petitioners and service record of the petitioner.

B. This Hon'ble court be pleased to direct the respondent authority to considered the case of the petitioners in respect of regularisation from 2009 when the similarly situated employees were regularized in pursuance of the circular dated 05-03-2008 issued by the State Government.

C. This Hon'ble Court be pleased to direct the respondent authority to considered the representations of the petitioners which are still pending before them in respect of regularization in pursuance of the circular issued by the State Government within stipulated period as grant by this Hon'ble Court.

D. This Hon'ble Court be pleased to direct the Respondents to



grant all consequential benefits including pay fixation and arrears of salary from 2009.

E. Any other relief as deemed fit by this Hon'ble Court. An affidavit in support is filed herewith.”

2. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that the petitioners were initially engaged as daily wage employee pursuant to the circular issued by the State Government, petitioners were regularized in service in the year 2016, however, other similarly placed employees were regularized on 14.01.2009 and therefore, petitioners have submitted representation before respondent No. 2 and 3 for regularizing the service of the petitioners with effect from 14.01.2009. The representation submitted by petitioners are still pending consideration before respondent No. 2 and 3, therefore, they may be directed to take decision on the representations of the petitioners at the earliest within specified time frame.
3. Counsel for the State submits that he has having no objection to the limited prayer of counsel for the petitioner, if the representations are still pending consideration, it will be decided in accordance with law.
4. On due consideration of the submission of learned counsel for parties, the writ petition at this stage is disposed of directing respondent No. 2 and 3 to take decision on the representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within further period of four months from the date of receipt of this order.
5. With the aforesaid observations and direction, this petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE