



2026:CGHC:9951

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 1974 of 2026

Manish Kumar Sahu S/o Itwari Prasad Sahu Aged About 40 Years R/o
Beladula, Kharraghat, Ward No. 24, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.) 496001

... **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary Livestock Development
Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Director Veterinary Services, Indravati Bhawan, Block No. 03, Ground
Floor, Atal Nagar, Raipur (C.G.)

3 - Deputy Director Veterinary Services, District Raigarh (C.G.)

4 - Collector District Raigarh (C.G.)

... **Respondent(s)**

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Asseem Bhagwat Gopal, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	:	Mr. Virendra Verma. G.A.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE PARTH PRATEEM SAHU)

Order on Board

26/02/2026

1. Petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking following reliefs:

“10.1. That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to quash
and set-aside Order dated 08/05/2025 (Annexure-P/1) passed
by the The Deputy Director, Veterinary Services, District
Raigarh (C.G.) issued by Respondent no.3;

10.2. That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct

the respondent authorities to officially reinstate the petitioner to his post, with consequential benefits, in accordance with law;
10.3. Any other Order(s), relief(s) may be passed which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice and equity..”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is covered by the decision of this Court in case of Ganesh Ram Yadav v. State of Chhattisgarh and Others, WPS No. 11772 of 2025 and other connected matters. Therefore, this case may also be disposed of in terms of the said case.
3. Counsel for the State do not dispute the submission of counsel for the petitioner on instructions.
4. Case of Ganesh Ram Yadav (supra) was disposed of considering following facts and the observations made thereunder, which is extracted below for ready reference:

“2. Facts relevant for disposal of these petitions are that an advertisement was issued on 29.02.2012 by Deputy Director, Veterinary Services i.e. respondent No.3 for filling up the post of Swachhkarta/Paricharak Sah Chowkidar. Advertisement issued by respondent No.3 came to be amended and amendment was published on 09.05.2012 mentioning that appointment is to be made based on the written examination. Petitioners participated in due procedure of recruitment formulated by respondent No.3 in the advertisement and got selected. Select list published by respondents department was put to challenge by one of the private candidate by making complaint raising allegation of irregularities committed by authorities in selection process. Collector, Raigarh considering the complaint submitted by private candidate, issued letter to the Deputy Director, Veterinary Services, upon which, respondent No.3 enquired into the matter and submitted report to the Collector. Petitioners were served with show cause notice based

on the enquiry report submitted by respondent No.3. Petitioners submitted reply to the show cause notice, however without considering the reply to the show cause notice, appointment of petitioners were cancelled and thereafter they preferred writ petition bearing WPS No.4441 of

2012, which was disposed of vide order dated 28.04.2023 setting aside the order dated 27.09.2012 cancelling appointment of petitioners along with others and directed the authorities to issue fresh show cause notice and to examine case of petitioners therein individually. Respondents-authorities thereafter issued show cause notice on 06.09.2024. Petitioners filed reply and thereafter second show cause notice was issued on 04.10.2024, which was also replied. After submission of reply by petitioners, respondents authorities have passed the order Annexure P-10 dated 08.05.2025 holding the recruitment proceedings to be void and cancelled the appointment of petitioners and other persons appointed pursuant to the recruitment proceedings based on the advertisement dated 29.02.2012, which is put to challenge in these writ petitions.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners would submit that petitioners have put to challenge the order dated 27.09.2012 canceling appointment of petitioners along with others in WPS No.4441 of 2012 and upon considering the grounds raised in that writ petition, this Court passed an interim order of stay and further directed that petitioners shall be allowed to continue in service. Petitioners thereafter continued in their service till passing of order Annexure P-10 dated 08.05.2025. He also contended that as petitioners have completed about 13 years of service, therefore, they have acquired the status of permanent employee. In the aforementioned facts of the case, if any, action is to be taken against petitioners like removal from service, then respondents authorities could have invoked the provisions under Chhattisgarh Civil Services (Classification and Control) Rules, 1966 by initiating the departmental enquiry against them, which has not been done, therefore, the order Annexure P-10 dated 08.05.2025 is per-se illegal and bad.”

5. In view of the submissions made by counsel for the respective parties, this writ petition is also disposed of in terms of decision in case of Ganesh Ram Yadav (supra) and it is ordered that the order impugned dated 08.05.2025 is in excess of direction issued by the High Court in order dated 28.04.2023 in WPS No.4441 of 2012, therefore, the impugned order dated 08.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) is not sustainable. Accordingly it is quashed. However, the respondents authorities will be at liberty to pass fresh order in terms of directives and observations made by the Court in WPS No.4441 of 2012 vide order dated 28.04.2023.
6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE