



2026:CGHC:10091



2026:CGHC:10091

NAFR

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

### CRMP No. 588 of 2026

Rajkumar Satnami S/o Bahaliram, aged about 45 years, R/o Near Gaytri Kirana Stores, Ghasidas Nagar, ACC Chhawani, Bhilai, Tehsil and District Durg (C.G.)

... Petitioner

### Versus

Yogendra Singh S/o Shri Mahatam Singh, aged about 31 years, R/o House No. 203, Ramnagar Supela Bhilai, in front of Post Office Bhilai, Tahsil and Distt. Durg (C.G.)

... Respondent

**(Cause title is taken from Case Information System Software)**

|                |   |                                     |
|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Vaibhav A. Goverdhan, Advocate. |
| For Respondent | : | Mr. Avinash Chand Sahu, Advocate.   |

**Hon'ble Smt. Justice Rajani Dubey**

### **Order on Board**

**26.02.2026**

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time in depositing the fine amount of Rs. 1.50 lacs as per judgment dated 06.03.2025 passed in Acquittal Appeal No. 219/2017 by this Court.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the said case, the



petitioner had engaged the counsel to contest the case, but for the reasons best known to him he did not appear to contest the case on behalf of the petitioner, ultimately the case was finally heard by this Court on 10.01.2025 and the judgment was delivered on 06.03.2025 and the passed by the learned trial court has been set aside and the petitioner has been held guilty for offence under Section 138 of NI Act and the following order has been passed by this Court which reads as under:-

***“13. In the result, the appeal is allowed. The impugned judgment dated 24.05.2017 of the learned trial Court is hereby set aside. The respondent/accused is held guilty under Section 138 of the N.I. Act and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs. 1.50 lacs within a period of 06 months from today or else he shall suffer R.I. for two years. The fine so deposited by the respondent/accused shall be paid to the appellant/complainant as compensation under Section 395 (1) (b) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.*”**

3. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner in the petition appears to be genuine and, therefore, further “**seven days**” time is granted to the petitioner to deposit the fine amount of Rs. 1.50 lacs from today.
4. Accordingly, this Cr.M.P. stands disposed of.

**Sd/-**

**(Rajani Dubey)**

**JUDGE**